

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments									
LPR-A-001	30/07/2020	Pauline Wyman					Empty office space in Oxford should be converted to residential to avoid the loss of Green Belt to the north of																							
LPR-A-002	12/08/2020		DLBP Ltd	Patron Adderbury Retirement Living Ltd															Site promotion - Land to the rear of Gracewell of Adderbury, Gardiner											
LPR-A-003	17/08/2020		Greystoke Land	Andrew and Elizabeth Derrer															Site promotion - South Lodge, Caversfield, Bicester											
LPR-A-004	26/08/2020		Laws & Fienes	Broughton Estate															Site promotion - Land at North Newington											
LPR-A-005	27/08/2020		Avision Young	National Grid															Site promotion - Land at Lower End and Thistle Hill, Shutford											
LPR-A-006	28/08/2020	Umer Rashid						Better internet provider options and better fibre optic cables in Grimbsbury. Would like a rock climbing and														National Grid asset, Cowley - East Claydon 400kV overhead transmission line is located partially within the district. To help ensure the continued safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate future infrastructure investment, National Grid wishes to be involved in the								
LPR-A-007	31/08/2020	Ken Howard																	Site promotion - Higham Way, Banbury											
LPR-A-008	01/09/2020		Breckon & Breckon	Richard Eric Davies and Marion Davies															Site promotion - Castle Street, Banbury											
LPR-A-009	02/09/2020		Fisher German LP	Stella O'Neill															Site promotion - Malthouse Walk, Banbury											
LPR-A-010	03/09/2020		Archstone Ambrissden Ltd	Mrs R May															Site promotion - Canalside, Banbury											
LPR-A-011	03/09/2020		Review Partners	Schylde Investments Limited															Site promotion - Old Place Yard, Banbury											
LPR-A-012	03/09/2020		Review Partners	Albert Geoffrey Phipps															Site promotion - Land on the east side of Woodstock Road East, Begbroke											
LPR-A-013	04/09/2020	Nicky Smith					Make Banbury a place people want to live in and visit. Be radical to realise its historical and architectural strengths and make more pedestrian spaces within the town. Make Banbury a tourist venue with a new park in its centre with walkways and cycle lanes, a water feature and turn every building facing it into houses. Compulsory purchase all the buildings and rent them as affordable housing. The most deprived communities will have access to nature which improves their health and life expectancy. Continue with the Castle Quay works. Sort out the junction by Banbury Station and across the bridge to Grimbsbury and provide parking on the bioham side of the station. Suggest												Site promotion - Land east of Oxford Road, Deddington											
LPR-A-014	04/09/2020		Natural England																Site promotion - Land north of Poughley Road, Ambrissden											
LPR-A-015	07/09/2020		Chesterton Parish Council		There is an urgent need for safe cycling provision, simply painting white lines on an already narrow road is unacceptable and can be dangerous. Investment in town centres and in transport infrastructure need to be addressed.	There is a need for discounted housing where social housing would be inappropriate. Solutions need to be found to support town centres. The need to protect and enhance Public Rights of Way and access is a key issue.	Government funding for Bicester's Garden Town status should be diverted to the Burnehill Woodland project. Paragraph 2.43 says that Bicester has high light pollution levels which confirms the need to invest in the woodland. Out-commuting has had a deleterious impact on narrow local roads and has made social integration and cohesion difficult. There is a need for high skill/knowledge economy jobs to raise the profile of Bicester as a Centre of Excellence.												Site promotion - Arncott Motorsport, Murcott Road, Upper Arncott, Bicester											
LPR-A-016	07/09/2020		D2 Planning	Blue Cedar Homes															Site promotion - Land off The Green, Station Road, Launton, Bicester											
LPR-A-017	07/09/2020	Richard Walker		Richard Walker, David Walker																										
LPR-A-018	07/09/2020	Richard Walker		Richard and David Walker																										
LPR-A-019	07/09/2020	Chris Robens								The plan should commit to not release any further Green Belt land for development in the parishes of Kidlington, Gosford and Water Eaton, Begbroke and Yarnon beyond that which has already been included in the Partial Review. The plan should commit to enforce the provision of 50% affordable housing included in the Partial Review due to the																				
LPR-A-020	07/09/2020		Stoke Lyne Parish Council									Need to protect the countryside from unnecessary development particularly in the context of potential commercial development around junction 10 of the M40. The Cherwell HELAA 2018 identifies three sites in Stoke Lyne for potential development: HELAA 214, HELAA 215 and HELAA 213. The assessments of HELAA 214 and HELAA 215 should be carried forward and the Inspector's comments in respect of HELAA 213 should lead to reassessment of the site. Expect these																		
LPR-A-021	08/09/2020	JFPC		Middle Aston Limited															Site promotion - Hatch End Old Poultry Farm Steeple Aston Road, Middle Aston, Bicester, OX25 5QJ											
LPR-A-022	08/09/2020		Robinson & Hall Lane	Christopher Edward Lane															Site promotion - Land to the south of Station Road, Bletchington											
LPR-A-023	08/09/2020		VSL and Partners Ltd	Walkers Trust and Rebecca Haynes															Site promotion - Land to the South of Camp Road, Upper Heyford											
LPR-A-024	09/09/2020		Strutt & Parker	Dairystock Limited	Ongoing COVID-19 consequences are restricting housing coming forward. Policies should involve more responsibility around identifying more housing than the Council normally would to allow more options for housing going forward. Future areas of housing growth should be in sustainable locations. Land north of Kidlington is a good option.	Evidence studies concerning the district's future affordable and market housing needs and a housing need assessment, affordable housing need and community needs assessment may be needed. A fresh Green Belt review may also be necessary.	Kidlington, Banbury and Bicester are key settlements in Cherwell. It is considered that allocations should be made in and adjoining large settlements. Kidlington has the closest relationship with Oxford and as one of the most sustainable locations within Cherwell can take a significant proportion of the housing need. Land north of Kidlington is not constrained and should be considered for a strategic allocation as it is			Support the potential further Green Belt review. Land north of Kidlington is not constrained and should be considered for a strategic allocation as it is suitable for strategic development, available now and easily deliverable. It has flood risk although this does not affect the whole site.									Land north of Kidlington is in close proximity to services and facilities and is well linked to the future expansion of Begbroke Science Park. The development of new homes near to jobs and employment would minimise journey lengths and provide a balance of land uses, as encouraged by the NPPF. The site would contribute to the creation of a sustainable, mixed community. Residential development of this site will create a larger											
LPR-A-025	09/09/2020	Judith Moyle																	Climate change needs addressing to a greater extent than has been to date. Locating new development close to existing settlements and transport links is important. Transport can be extended into land north of Kidlington and is considered a better option than other locations where public transport is limited or non-existent and not attached to existing settlements.											
LPR-A-026	09/09/2020		Barton Wilmore	Firethorn Bicester Limited															Future housing should be located where it promotes sustainable transport links. Land north of Kidlington is within close proximity to Oxford, Oxford Parkway station, services and facilities. Housing development in this location would have excellent connectivity with existing infrastructure and services accords with NPPF objectives.											
LPR-A-026	09/09/2020																		Site promotion - Land at The Close, Banbury											

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2047? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2047?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments		
PR-A-053	11/09/2020	Christopher Tapp			The pandemic has drawn attention to existing inequalities regarding access to outdoor space. New housing developments should guarantee access to outdoor public green spaces. The council should ensure that telecommunications infrastructure is in place to facilitate working from home. Poor mobile phone signal restricts home working.	The Council should use participatory approaches to research that engage directly with groups of residents across the District in ways that formal consultations cannot. There should be flood modelling to understand the distribution of risk with regards to the impact of up-stream developments on the frequency and severity of downstream flooding. Consider embodied carbon in housing and development. Recent studies have shown that it is almost always more sustainable to renovate existing dwellings and structures than to build new developments when the carbon cost of new materials is taken into consideration. Embodied carbon estimates should be provided in the planning application process.	Housing: Broadly support issues raised. Advocate the promotion of communal and collective land tenure options such as co-housing, community land trusts and housing developments that emphasise interaction within and between communities as well as access to safe, green space. Economy: New green infrastructure is required to connect suburban and rural settlements to urban centres. Development of bus routes and cycle paths would contribute to the urban labour force and make businesses in peripheral settlements more economically viable. Community Facilities, Sport & Recreation: The Council should protect and enhance undeveloped open, green spaces as critical social infrastructure. Transport: Key challenge is reducing car dependency by building safe						Green infrastructure including cycling routes and electric car charging points should be brought to rural villages as a priority. Additional themes that address equitable access to infrastructure and services. Themes that focus on strengthening social inclusion, participation and citizenship.		The Climate Change theme should be framed more broadly in terms of resilient development, understood in terms of the capacity of systems, institutions, developments and communities to transform and adapt to socio-ecological transitions that are inevitable and continuous, hastened by climate change.	The Plan should actively engage with, support and promote innovative approaches to housing finance and tenure including community-led development that draws on the wealth of knowledge in the District and allows residents to be makers and shapers of housing developments rather than customers of development companies.				See comments regarding participatory research.			
PR-A-054	11/09/2020		DLP Planning Ltd	Mr Andrew Thompson																		Site promotion - Home Farm Works, Clifton Road, Deddington Site promotion - Industrial building and land to the south of Clifton Road,	
PR-A-055	11/09/2020	Graciela Iglesias-Rogers			As long as growth rather than environmental protection and sustainability continues to be the driver of planning policies, it is likely that the pandemic will persist and leave a legacy of new health, social and economic crises. Need to re-wild our living areas and reduce the rhythm of urbanisation. Jobs can be created by not building new places of employment. Housing can be met by adapting existing buildings and by building only on brownfield land that provides little benefit to local communities and has a high carbon	The affordability of a home is not determined by the number of buildings that stand in a community, but by the availability of homes placed into the market. A survey is needed of non-residential buildings no longer in use that could be reused for residential.	Please refer to answers to questions 1 and 2.	Please refer to answers to questions 1 and 2.	Please refer to answers to questions 1 and 2.	The present plan will increase current issues by favouring a traditional pre-COVID-19 approach to economic growth based on building expansion and favouring activities with a high carbon footprint. Plans should be drawn up to reduce the size and operations of London-Oxford Airport to a point where it is not required to store great quantities of fuel on site. This is a potential danger to the local community, if the site is not commercially viable then it should be repurposed to meet some of the urgent housing needs currently	Please refer to answers to questions 1 and 2.	Please refer to answers to questions 1 and 2.	I agree with the themes. The problem is that the current local plan does not meet the objectives. Please read my previous answers.	Please refer to answers to questions 1 and 2.	40% of emissions in Cherwell are caused by transport and the plan is proposing to expand London-Oxford Airport and car traffic around Oxford. There is no hope that the statutory target of reducing carbon dioxide emissions to at least 80% below 1990 levels to 2050 will be met. Please also read answers to questions 1, 2 and 6.	Healthy place-shaping needs to start at home. Consider rewarding homeowners who take care of green areas and encourage those who do not through taxation. The COVID-19 emergency has demonstrated that providing decent homes does not necessarily entail building new properties. Please read also my answers to questions 1 and 2.	Yes, a new vision is required. One not predicated on 'growth', but truly on environmental, social and economic sustainability.				More public meetings for discussion ('in the flesh' and/or Zoom).		
PR-A-056	13/09/2020		Bloor Homes Limited	J A & D A Calcutt																		Site promotion - Land off Banbury Road, Deddington	
PR-A-057	13/09/2020		Merlin Land Planning & Oxford & County Planning	Shelley and Smart Mr C J Lane Fox																		Site promotion - Land south of Station Road, Hook Norton Site promotion - Land south of B4200, Biggleswade, Cherwell	
PR-A-058	13/09/2020																						
PR-A-059	13/09/2020	Savills (UK) Ltd	Christ Church and The Water Eaton Estate		It is too early to determine how policies should be shaped in response to the pandemic. Space standards, layout and open space can be determined at the application stage in the meantime. The Plan covers the period to 2040 it may therefore not be appropriate to allow it to skew place making standards and densities.	Appropriate evidence is needed to justify the strategy and approach.	In general the issues identified are supported. The housing needs of not just Cherwell but those of Oxford and other adjacent authorities should be taken into account. Support for the potential affordable housing for key workers but the list should be expanded to include staff of important local employers such as Oxford University. Overall support positive and justified policies for economic growth, sustainable			The implications of the development of the Partial Review sites should be taken into account. This includes the sustainability of the area, potential review of the Green Belt to allow further development in sustainable locations and density of development of existing sites to make most efficient use of land before allocating new greenfield sites.			The Local Plan should concentrate on ways to ensure sustainable development via economic, social and environmental measures, reinforcing local communities and high quality place making.	In order to boost the economy adequate housing needs to be provided to encourage skilled labour. This also means appropriate facilities and services to enhance the attractiveness of an area. Housing, and a balanced approach to meeting the needs of the labour force should therefore be a key theme. The opportunity to focus sustainable place making where there is a reduced need to travel by car, where local services,	This is a key issue for the plan. Much of the energy efficiency measures suggested will be controlled via the proposed changes to the Building Regulations. If the Council wishes to encourage developers to build at higher environmental standards it must recognise the viability implications.	These measures are supported.	Yes, the plan needs a new vision. It should build on the Oxfordshire 2050 vision, recognising the increasing interdependence on the wider Oxfordshire area and the spatial strategies that it sets out.	Site promotion - Land at St Frideswide's Farm and Water Eaton Estate (Site P96a)	The SA Scoping Report is comprehensive. One area that is missing is reference to the recently published White Paper.	The SCI will need to take account of the White Paper. Three proposed Reg 18 consultations seems excessive.			
PR-A-060	13/09/2020	Sienna Barbour	Terraughtie Farming Co Ltd & Mrs D Barbour																			Site promotion - Oddington Grange Farm, Weston on the Green	
PR-A-061	13/09/2020	Sienna Barbour	Sienna Barbour																			Site promotion - Land off Fenway, Steeple Aston Site promotion - Land off Middle	
PR-A-062	13/09/2020		Deddington Development Watch																				
PR-A-063	13/09/2020		Blenheim Estates																				Site promotion - Land between Oxford Road, Upper Campsfield Road and Shipton Road, South East Woodstock Site promotion - Land between Woodstock Road, Langford Lane and Bigbrooke Lane, Bigbrooke / Kidlington
PR-A-064	13/09/2020	Sarah Gordon-Colebrook																					Site promotion - Kozemei (Paddock), Kidlington Road, Kilp
PR-A-065	13/09/2020		Sheldon Bosley Knight Ltd	Maxine Murray and John Benfield																			Site promotion - Land South of Hempton Road, Hempton
PR-A-066	27/09/2020		Cropredy Parish Council		Agree that COVID-19 has emphasised the importance of planning policies that promote the local economy, provide for a range of good quality housing, open spaces for recreation, cycle routes and footpaths, and focal points for communities. There is an opportunity to think how we use urban space. If working from home persists policy should consider the provision of hubs where home workers can meet from time to time	Understanding housing demand over next 10 years in terms of market, affordable and dwelling type and size including provision for groups such as key workers and the older population. Evidence is needed on: i) the effects of housing location in relation to services and public transport and walking distances. ii) the appropriate scale of development in particular locations and ways to prevent sprawl and coalescence of adjacent	We consider the key issues correctly address housing, economy, community facilities, transport, agriculture and environment. What is important to a village like Cropredy is that any development is proportionate and appropriate to the setting. Provision of public transport is an important issue with an ageing population. We note also the importance of policies to maintain services within villages to reduce the	As Banbury is our nearest town, we welcome policies to ensure the town centre remains vibrant and adapts positively to the changes in retail. We suggest that the development at Castle Quay is complemented by a focus on independent shops, cafe etc. We would welcome improvements to pedestrian access to the railway station and enhancement of the canal side area in a way that is sensitive to the heritage and natural environment.			We agree with the rural issues identified. We welcome a review of village classification based on updated information on levels of services. The issues we regard as particularly important are: the need to maintain services; the need for housing to meet local needs; the need to identify important gaps and avoid coalescence of settlements; the need to protect local distinctiveness and settlement patterns of villages when assessing	We agree with the three key themes.	We welcome this theme but would suggest that economic development policies need to protect and enhance the character and beauty of the countryside and the importance of preserving and enhancing the historic environment.	We welcome the focus in the local plan on ways to slow climate change through policies on location and construction methods, and agree with the focus on ways to promote energy efficiency and renewable energy in new build and existing dwellings, community and commercial buildings.	We welcome the focus on policies to improve health and wellbeing and identification of the particular needs of older age groups.	The vision should include climate change. Regarding housing provision, we would welcome something on development being proportionate to the existing settlements. We suggest that high quality design is defined in a way that includes harmonising with and complementing the built environment of existing settlements.				We agree with the topics identified in Table 3.1 of the SA Scoping Report and welcome a full evidence-based assessment of policies against the baseline in terms of economic, social and environment factors.	We welcome regular consultation with parish councils. In the context of COVID-19, consideration should be given to online presentations and meetings. Policies should be communicated in terms of what they mean for people's quality of life including access to housing, shopping, community facilities, recreation, health services, and the quality of the built and natural environment.		
PR-A-067	14/09/2020		Brown & Co	Smiths of Bloxham																			Site promotion - Newland Caravan Site, Milton Road, Bloxham, OX15 4HD
PR-A-068	14/09/2020		Brown & Co	Mr & Mrs Bishop																			Site promotion - Land at Folly Farm, Bilford Ferris, Banbury
PR-A-069	14/09/2020		Mike Gilbert Planning Ltd	Proper Tea LLP																			Site promotion - Land south of Solid State Logic headquarters, Spring Hill Road, Bigbrooke, Kidlington
PR-A-070	14/09/2020		Cala Homes Midlands Limited																				Site promotion - Land south of Ellis Lane, Bloxham
PR-A-071	14/09/2020		Charterhouse	The Portland House																			Site promotion - Land East of
PR-A-072	14/09/2020		Langford Village Community Association		Widening of street pavements or introduction of one way systems for narrow pavements; covered areas for people having to queue outside shops particularly during the winter weather. Design quality to prevent horrible schemes; ensuring developers fulfil all the agreements in a planning application; consideration of building heights, character of settlements and building materials; and ensuring the maintenance and	More weight should be given to the councillor's views from the area that the planning application is being discussed; work, consultation and vision for town centres are needed as they are unlikely to return to how they were before COVID-19; flawed evidence in the current Local Plan regarding the requirements for B1 and B8 uses; and aim to attract other higher paid jobs in the district and consider setting up development	It is important to ensure that improvements are made to a whole town and not just one area where there is a lot of growth taking place such as Bicester.	Shops are closing down in the town centre so there is a need to attract more residents and visitors; a museum and small theatre for Bicester are needed; Market Square to attract Bicester Village visitors (e.g. The Pantiles in Tunbridge Wells); no more warehousing to be allowed, but instead encourage higher technology and knowledge-based businesses; need businesses to reduce out-commuting to London/Oxford, a new					Agree with the key themes. Additional thoughts include: the growing economy must not leave the behind the poor/disadvantaged in the district; identification and promotion of all local businesses, buy local where possible; encourage self-sufficiency where possible.									Recognised Associations should be contacted directly. Notification to local Facebook groups should be encouraged.	
PR-A-073	14/09/2020		Fisher German LLP	Mr I & T Adamberry	Workings whilst providing adequate outdoor amenity space. Policies should not be too onerous and should allow for flexibility. Ensure the delivery of sufficient logistics land is delivered in the Plan.	Affordable housing for key workers must be supported by national policy which at the moment defines 'essential local workers'. Due to COVID-19, the public perception of a key worker or essential worker may have widened, therefore any expansion of definition must be sufficiently evidenced, justified and supported by national policy. Any space standards set out by the Council should not exceed the National Space Standards set by Government and reflect the outcome	The threshold for affordable housing should not be reduced as this is in line with National Guidance. Over-reliance on strategic housing sites for growth is a potential issue as it lacks flexibility and the failure of even a small number of sites could have significant impacts on housing delivery. Consider a dispersed growth and smaller sites in sustainable locations, which are likely to deliver quickly.						Housing growth in sustainable villages will help support existing local facilities and services thereby maintaining the sustainability of these settlements for the long-term. Policies should be supportive of edge of settlement sites. There will be limited opportunities to redevelop brownfield sites. Over-restrictive policies of residential development on greenfield sites will undermine the sustainability and vitality of villages. Consider sites of all sizes in the rural areas such as sites of fewer than five homes which	The Plan should focus on delivering the necessary housing growth to help realise the aims of the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. The Plan should plan positively to contribute towards the Government's target of delivering one million homes across the Arc by 2050. It is important for the plan to utilise the updated guidance relating to establishing a robust housing requirement based on Local Housing Need attained from the Standard Method. If the local housing need is used by the Oxfordshire authorities	Support the Council's aim in reducing climate change but do not want to see significant requirements and complication added to the development process. Any further guidance must be justified and have regard to the viability of new development.							Site promotion - Land at Green Lane, Annett	
PR-A-074	14/09/2020		Hawkins & Harrison	The Fuller Family																			Site promotion - Land east of Banbury Road, Deddington
PR-A-075	14/09/2020		Define Planning	Mrs S Spencer, Mr C																			Site promotion - Land east of South
PR-A-076	14/09/2020		Satnam Planning Services																				Site promotion - Land at Station Road, Blisworth
PR-A-077	14/09/2020		Brown & Co	Mr Robert Cooke																			Site promotion - Land at Croft Farm, Adderbury Site promotion - Land to the north of
PR-A-078	14/09/2020		Carter Jonas	Viscount Sidmouth																			Site promotion - Land at Hall Farm, Fringford

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments						
PR-A-102	14/09/2020		JPC Ltd	Keble Homes Ltd																							
PR-A-103	14/09/2020		Brown & Co	Mr D Orchard																							
PR-A-104	14/09/2020		DLP Planning Ltd	Mr Colin Young and Mr Douglas Young																							
PR-A-105	14/09/2020		Laws & Fienes	Wiltons Park Educational Trust																							
PR-A-106	14/09/2020		Barton Willmore	Bicester Sports Association		The Council's Playing Pitch Strategy and Sports Facilities Strategy (2018) will need to be updated to address factual inaccuracies. This will provide up to date evidence on deficiencies and can inform site allocations and development management policies.	Agree with the key issue to secure adequate formal sports provision. To address this the Plan should have a more centralised sports provision where site specific allocations seek to meet a clear deficiency within the District. Specific development policies needed within a site specific policy to guide future development on that site or a criterion based policy.		Agree with the key issue to address identified deficiencies in open space, sport and recreation provision through the enhancement of existing facilities or securing new provision.			Existing sport and recreation provision in rural areas should be supported and there should be policies towards new sport and recreation provision in rural areas. Policies should support the enhancement and expansion of the existing facilities within rural areas to address identified deficiencies.				Support the inclusion of healthy place-shaping as one of the key themes. 63.2% of adults in Cherwell are classified as overweight or obese, and adult and child physical activity is the lowest in Oxfordshire. It is important to include policies that promote the expansion of existing sport and recreation facilities to help encourage physical activity. The Council's Sport and Playing Pitch Strategy 2018 recommended that multi-pitch sites with more than one											
PR-A-107	14/09/2020		Brown & Co	Mr and Mrs N Morris																							
PR-A-108	14/09/2020		Hill Street Holdings	Benheim Estates																							
PR-A-109	14/09/2020		Deddington Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group									There is little reference to neighbourhood planning. The Plan should encourage the production of Neighbourhood Plans for protecting and enhancing local distinctiveness and settlement patterns, and the natural and built environment.															
PR-A-110	14/09/2020		ICA Regeneration Ltd	GG Oxford Investments Limited																							
PR-A-111	14/09/2020		Laws & Fienes	Mrs E ter Haar, Mrs H																							
PR-A-112	14/09/2020		Fisher German LLP	Josephine Horton and Jean Morgan	COVID-19 has highlighted the impact of living environments on people's wellbeing and quality of life. Policies should aim to secure homes with flexible living space to facilitate home working whilst providing adequate outdoor amenity space. However, policies should not be too onerous and should allow for flexibility. Policies should also recognise the role of logistics floorspace and the Plan	Generally agree with the key issues identified. In addition, affordable housing for key workers must be supported by national policy which defines 'essential local workers'. Any space standards should not exceed the National Space Standards set by Government. Policies on accessible standards should reflect the outcome of the consultation on 'Raising Accessibility Standards for New	The site threshold for affordable housing should not be lowered. Such a restriction would jeopardise the viability and deliverability of small sites which make an important contribution to the District's housing requirement. The Plan should also not over rely on strategic housing sites. The future growth strategy must include an element of both strategic sites and dispersed growth to meet					It is vital that housing growth is allocated in Cherwell's sustainable villages, such as Chesterton, together with further extensions at, for example, Bicester, through land at Lorton. Growth in sustainable villages will help support existing local facilities and services. Policies need to support edge of settlement sites. Opportunities for brownfield sites are limited. The Council should consider	The Local Plan should focus on delivering the necessary housing growth to help realise the aims of the Oxford-Cambridge Arc of delivering one million homes by 2050. Vital that the Plan utilises updated guidance based on Local Housing Need from the Standard Methodology.		Whilst the aim of reducing climate change is supported, would not wish to see significant requirements and compliance added to the development process. Any further guidance must be justified and have regard to the viability of new development.												
PR-A-113	14/09/2020		Fisher German LLP	Mrs Josephine Horton	COVID-19 has highlighted the impact of living environments on people's wellbeing and quality of life. Policies should aim to secure homes with flexible living space to facilitate home working whilst providing adequate outdoor amenity space. However, policies should not be too onerous and should allow for flexibility. Policies should also recognise the role of logistics floorspace and the local	Generally agree with the key issues identified. In addition, affordable housing for key workers must be supported by national policy which defines 'essential local workers'. Any space standards should not exceed the National Space Standards set by Government. Policies on accessible standards should reflect the outcome of the consultation on 'Raising Accessibility Standards for New	The site threshold for affordable housing should not be lowered. Such a restriction would jeopardise the viability and deliverability of small sites which make an important contribution to the District's housing requirement. The Plan should also not over rely on strategic housing sites. The future growth strategy must include an element of both strategic sites and dispersed growth to meet					It is vital that housing growth is allocated in Cherwell's sustainable villages, such as Chesterton, together with further extensions at, for example, Bicester, through land at Lorton. Growth in sustainable villages will help support existing local facilities and services. Policies need to support edge of settlement sites. Opportunities for brownfield sites are limited. The Council should consider	The Local Plan should focus on delivering the necessary housing growth to help realise the aims of the Oxford-Cambridge Arc of delivering one million homes by 2050. Vital that the Plan utilises updated guidance based on Local Housing Need from the Standard Methodology.		Whilst the aim of reducing climate change is supported, would not wish to see significant requirements and compliance added to the development process. Any further guidance must be justified and have regard to the viability of new development.												
PR-A-114	14/09/2020		Sworders	Brian and Lynne Aries																							
PR-A-115	14/09/2020		Sworders	Mr Christopher Bell																							
PR-A-116	14/09/2020		Sworders	Mr J Colegrave																							
PR-A-117	14/09/2020		South																								
PR-A-118	14/09/2020		Boyer Planning	Wates Developments	Plan should respond proactively to the challenges and the corresponding changes in behaviours and preferences. Policies should promote innovative design that creates functional spaces for home working. The importance of access to outdoor open spaces, particularly where this facilitates social interaction. The importance of local networks and social capital is also highlighted. Policies and design codes should place a stronger emphasis on this. These issues are likely to have implications for development densities. Therefore proportionately more land is required to meet housing needs. At a macro-level more people are seeking to leave major urban centres in favour of areas that are perceived as being more rural and open. Rural towns and villages may not be a less sustainable option as there is more homeworking and online shopping. There have also been advances in delivery drones and car pooling of autonomous electric	There may be merit in commissioning specific research in how the Plan can meet positively to the COVID-19 environment. Given the proposed reforms to the planning system there will be a requirement to 'front load' information in to the Local Plan for consideration during the EIP. Consideration of design matters at an early stage may be appropriate to facilitate the Government's design-coding approach. Work on the viability assessment should be commenced at an early stage to underpin the emerging spatial strategy. Strategic transport modelling and the requirement to achieve a 10% biodiversity net gain will also need careful consideration at an early stage.	Agree that it is necessary to allocate land to meet housing needs and bring forward land of varying types and scale. This is vital to ensure timely delivery and facilitate a Plan-led approach. Concur that development needs to provide a mix of dwelling types and tenures, including specialist accommodation and adaptable spaces, including those that facilitate home working. The Plan will need to respond to emerging Government policies on affordable housing requirements, thresholds and space standards. There will need to be considered within the Viability Assessment. If the Plan is to effectively address affordability matters and enable the provision of dwellings with larger internal and external spaces (to address the pandemic environment), then it should be recognised that it will be necessary to allocate additional land to facilitate this.		The consultation document makes reference to the settlements, 'Garden Town' status, as well as retail and employment matters. Such concerns are all very relevant to the Local Plan Review. However, the 'key issues' do not give significant consideration to housing-related matters. This omission is significant, because housing affordability, the mix of available dwellings, as well as regeneration objectives, are all matters that will be impacted upon by the approach to housing growth at or around Bicester. Bicester, together with Banbury, has provided a focus for the supply of new homes in recent years within Cherwell. This strategy is sound and based on key principles for sustainable development. There is logic in continuing to focus housing provision at Bicester and the question of how this is achieved within, adjoining and at satellite settlements, is a key consideration.		Agree that it is necessary to direct some growth to rural settlements, including where this reinforces service provision and supports the rural economy. It is important that any review of village categorisation takes account of changing preferences and lifestyles through appropriate weighted criteria. This should recognise and be reflective of the way people live today, including in the post-COVID-19 environment. The methodology of any village categorisation / settlement hierarchy should be subject to consultation. Likewise, as referenced in our response to Question 2, there would be merit in undertaking research to establish how behavioural changes and revised preferences, have impacted on assessments of locational sustainability.	Absent from these themes is reference to meeting housing needs and improving housing affordability. Meeting housing needs is the key objective of the current NPPF, as defined at Paragraph 11 and at Section 5. Increasing the supply of new homes is the overriding focus of the Government's proposed reforms to the planning system. As such, a new key theme should be included to commit the Plan to meeting identified housing needs in full.	The issues identified in this key theme are broadly supported. However (and with reference to our responses to Questions 1 and 2), there is scope to respond to the 'new reality' of remote working and the opportunities that this may bring.	The issues identified in this key theme are broadly supported. However, if it is envisaged that additional requirements / standards be applied, then this should be factored into the Viability Assessment which will need to be prepared to support the Plan. Consideration also needs to be given to biodiversity net gain requirements, including mechanisms for offsetting / strategic provision.	The issues identified are broadly supported. However, if it is envisaged that additional requirements / standards be applied, then this should be factored into the Viability Assessment which will need to be prepared to support the Plan.	The new vision will need to align with the NPPF and subsequent successor documents, which articulate Government policies. As indicated in the Government's current consultation on a future planning system, priorities are expected to focus on meeting housing needs, increasing rates of delivery and achieving good design 'beyond' Bicester and the surrounding area, including satellite settlements, should continue to be a focus for growth and this should remain a key tenet of the Plan's spatial strategy. The continued direction of growth to Bicester will require a new vision for the town and its surrounding area and determine whether development will continue in the form of peripheral expansion at the urban edge or extend to satellite settlements or other development concepts.											
PR-A-119	14/09/2020		Savills (UK) Ltd	Christ Church, Oxford	It is too early to determine how planning policies should be shaped in response to the pandemic. Space standards, layout and open space can be determined at the application stage in the meantime. Adequate access to open space and green networks is generally supported. The Plan will cover the period to 2040, and whilst COVID-19 is a serious issue it may not be appropriate to allow it to skew place making standards and densities.	Appropriate evidence is needed to justify the strategy and approach. The basis for the issues and needs being identified clearly needs to be properly evidenced, through robust assessment and targeted consultation.	In general the issues identified are supported. The housing needs, not just of Cherwell, but those of Oxford and other adjacent authorities should be taken into account as per the analysis carried out for the Partial Review. Support for potential affordable housing for key workers, such as NHS employees, teachers and the police, fire & rescue services, but this should be expanded to include staff of important local employers, for example, the University of Oxford.					An appropriate methodology is needed for undertaking the review of village categorisation. The Plan should look to allocate sufficient housing in sustainable rural areas including small site allocations.	The Local Plan should concentrate on ways to ensure sustainable development via economic, social and environmental measures, reinforcing local communities and appropriate, high quality place making.	It should be recognised that in order to boost the economy that adequate housing needs to be provided to encourage skilled labour. This also means appropriate facilities and services to enhance the attractiveness of an area. Housing, and a balanced approach to meeting the needs of the labour force should therefore be a key theme of the plan. The opportunity to focus sustainable place making where there is a reduced need to travel by car, where local services and facilities	This is a key issue for the plan. Much of the energy efficiency measures suggested will be controlled via the proposed changes to the Building Regulations. If the Council wishes to encourage developers to build at higher environmental standards it must recognise the viability implications.	These measures are supported.	Yes, the plan needs a new vision. It is suggested that this builds on the wider Oxfordshire 2050 vision, recognising the increasing interdependence on the wider Oxfordshire area and the spatial strategies that it set out.										
PR-A-120	14/09/2020		Turley	L&Q Estates		Support the range of issues and needs identified by the Council. The Plan will need to be sufficiently flexible to respond to the emerging Oxfordshire Plan 2050, the reforms to the planning system, and the emerging Spatial Framework for the Oxford-Cambridge Arc.	Whilst the necessity of identifying the topics as separate elements is understood, it is important to recognise the interplay between these key issues. For example, the delivery of housing will have an important role to play in supporting the economy through both direct and indirect employment as well as supporting local services and facilities. Housing development can also have an important role to play in the provision and enhancement of community facilities, outdoor sport, indoor recreation and open space as well as achieving biodiversity net gain. Through the delivery of an appropriate spatial strategy the Council will also ensure new housing development will respond positively to transport opportunities by locating development in sustainable locations, and supporting infrastructure and amenity delivery across the District. Meeting housing needs will be a key issue particularly in light of the significant affordability issues					Support the proposed review of the services and facilities on offer in the villages in the District in order to direct development to the more sustainable settlements. This will have an important role to maintain the viability of these settlements and provide a wider choice of housing available to meet a variety of needs, including the provision of affordable housing. The long term implications of the COVID-19 pandemic are unknown, however it has clearly demonstrated the achievability of homeworking and will likely increase levels of homeworking in the future. The development of new homes in villages will likely have greater connectivity to the surrounding rural environs, thereby providing greater leisure and health benefits. Development of a variety of scales of settlements is therefore likely to be required and appropriate in order to achieve sustainable development, and reduce commuting patterns.															
PR-A-121	14/09/2020		Savills (UK) Ltd	Richborough Estates																							

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn?	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments			
PR-A-122	14/09/2020		DP9 Ltd	Value Retail	COVID-19 has impacted across sectors and planning policies must recognise the need for flexibility to adapt to these changes. Welcome recent changes to LICD and expect that this will be reflected in future Local Plan policies.	The following evidence is required: Retail across the district - spend, role and performance of centres and other destinations to illustrate importance of the sector to the economy and the future trends for retail and its role in town centre regeneration. The impact of e-commerce trends and home delivery services and what this means for retail centres and traffic congestion. Tourism sector - positive impact of key attractions and their wider role in the district and associated employment benefits. Car parking demand and supply - COVID-19 shift back to private car usage away from public transport. The implications for car parking needs. Transport - The impact of changing transport needs and modes on wider growth. Evidence to support the development of sustainable transport networks to cope with growth. The congestion impact of future growth along key transport corridors and on town centres needs consideration.	Concur with key issues identified under 'Town Centres and Retail'. Retail destinations such as Bicester Village should be recognised as positive contributors to the retail landscape and that continued evolution, flexibility and consolidation should be supported. The importance of the role of tourist attractions such as Bicester Village and its tourism partners play to the success of this industry should be leveraged and acknowledged in future policies. The broader challenges in the retail environment should be recognised in the key issues, which has accelerated the re-thinking of the role of town centres and retail. This will require a more flexible approach to land use in town centres to allow for the creation of fit for future destinations. New consumer shopping habits and the growth of internet retail and takeaway/delivery food providers should be considered.																	
PR-A-123	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	L&Q Estates					Policy Bicester 13 (Gawvy Drive) remains an appropriate site for development. CDC is encouraged to retain this allocation in the Local Plan Review. Residential development at Bicester 13 will provide for the long-term management and conservation									Site promotion - Bicester Village A41 site, Bicester Site promotion - Bicester Village Oxford Road site, Bicester Site promotion - Bicester Village Stratton Road site, Bicester						
PR-A-124	14/09/2020	Michael		Save Gawvy Meadows														Site promotion - Ambergate Barn,						
PR-A-125	14/09/2020	Fernella Roberts		Save Gawvy Meadows campaign														Site promotion - Gawvy Meadows Local Wildlife Site, Gawvy Drive						
PR-A-126	14/09/2020		Strutt & Parker	Mrs A Darbishire														Site promotion - Land north of						
PR-A-127	14/09/2020		Savills (UK) Ltd	Ritchborough Estates														Site promotion - Grange Farm, Stratton Road, Launton, Bicester						
PR-A-128	14/09/2020		Lawes & Fienes	Stratton Audley Estate														Site promotion - Former Sewage Works, Launton Road, Stratton Audley						
PR-A-129	14/09/2020		Savills (UK) Ltd	Lone Star Land Ltd	Suggest a cautious approach when assessing the potential effects of the pandemic. Whilst it will have short and medium term impacts, the headline targets in terms of growth will remain ambitious. Existing trends such as the decline of the traditional high street and the rise of online shopping are being hastened. There is evidence of a demand for larger gardens and more space to facilitate home working.	The Council should publish a list of the evidence base documents it intends to commission and publish. The Council will need to be meticulous in assessing the deliverability of sites and will have to adhere to the Housing Delivery Test. The Council should not disregard deliverability when determining the spatial strategy for the Plan.	The Plan must take its lead from the fact that the LDS indicates that the key stages of the Local Plan will run behind the Oxfordshire Plan	Banbury and Bicester should be a focus for new development. Agree that landscape studies should be reviewed to help consider the long-term growth potential of Banbury. The increasing densification of Banbury town centre will not be the solution to the housing growth required. Sustainable active travel will be increasingly important. Walking and cycling should have a much higher priority. Requiring new development to provide for cycling and walking will be ineffective if there are no measures in place to redesign										Site promotion - Land north of Broughton Road, Banbury Site promotion - Land at Bretch Hill, Banbury			In preparing the Local Plan consideration is needed of National Guidance, including White Paper, Standard Methodology, First Homes, Cambridge to Oxford Growth Arc, and Duty to Co-operate. The need for 3 Reg 18 consultations is questioned. Any White Paper consultation on the structure of local government and/or boundaries should be taken into consideration in preparing the new plan.			
PR-A-130	14/09/2020		Fernhill Estates	Katherine Wheeler														Site promotion - Land south of						
PR-A-131	14/09/2020		Fernhill Estates	Peter and Carol Surman														Site promotion - Land north of North Street, Fritwell						
PR-A-132	14/09/2020		Edgars Limited	Bicester Motion Limited	It is vital for planning policies to promote, and not restrict, economic development to secure economic recovery. This can be supported through the creation of policies which allow for flexibility for complementary land uses to come forward. This will support Bicester Motion in the delivery of their vision, which is to secure a long-term sustainable future for their site and to provide opportunities for skilled jobs, apprentices, and training and sustainable economic growth in the region. It will also support existing and new businesses and operators by providing increased flexibility and resilience; contribute more effectively to the economic recovery; and provide opportunities for the creation of social and cultural benefits for the community. Local Plan policies should support the development of live/work units to provide people with the opportunity to move away from lengthy commutes and avoid less sustainable forms of transport.	Tourism and recreation sectors have key roles in supporting Bicester's recovery. The Council should update its Tourism Development Strategy in order to fully capture the value it can deliver post COVID-19. Experience Oxfordshire has recognised the opportunity for Bicester Motion to become a core tourism growth site for Oxfordshire and Cherwell. To unlock the potential of Bicester Motion, an Integrated Transport System through Bicester and its key sites should be pursued with particular emphasis on last mile connections to rail, park and ride and other sustainable transport systems. Better connectivity would deliver a more connected community, tourism and recreation offer and will enable more visitors to access more sites and businesses. Increased connectivity to the town centre would help deliver greater footfall and increased staying time, which will support its vitality.	Economy - The introduction of policies which support the implementation of flexible complementary uses will provide the opportunity for Bicester's key sites to strengthen its position and provide opportunities for local people. Agree that it is important to secure growth within the high-tech knowledge-based and innovation sectors. Vital that policies continue to support Bicester Motion in delivering an internationally recognised centre for innovation. In order for success to continue, policy needs to be positive and encourage sites that seek to promote high skilled jobs, apprentices and training opportunities. Town Centre and Retail and Transport - Agree that the Plan should improve the vibrancy of daytime and evening economies in the town centre. Objectives and policies should support an integrated transport strategy to connect sites within and outside Bicester. Community recreation, indoor and outdoor space - Support the creation		Agree that the Council should assess whether new sites should be allocated for employment generating uses; and providing sufficient land to support high-tech knowledge-based industries; providing sufficient land for the growth of Policy Bicester 8 to meet the leisure needs of a growing local population and visitor economy. Additional land should be allocated as part of Bicester 8 to include land at Elm Farm Quarry. The key issues do not adequately recognise the contribution of the tourism and recreation sectors to the economy. To safeguard the Bicester tourism economy, it is recommended that Bicester Motion be identified as a key leisure and tourism asset.												Site promotion - Land at former Elm Farm Quarry, Stratton Audley, Bicester Site promotion - OCC Land at former Elm Farm Quarry, Stratton Audley, Bicester			
PR-A-133	14/09/2020		Fernhill Estates															Site promotion - Land west of School Lane, Great Bourton Site promotion - Land west of Foulden Way, Great Bourton						
PR-A-134	14/09/2020		Fernhill Estates	Fergus White														Site promotion - Land north of Chapel Lane, Little Bourton						
PR-A-135	14/09/2020		Fernhill Estates	Stuart Morgan														Site promotion - Land north of Shutford Road, North Newington						
PR-A-136	14/09/2020		Fernhill Estates	Jeremy Brown														Site promotion - Land north of Arley Road, Somerton						
PR-A-137	14/09/2020		Fisher German LP	Mr David Smith														Site promotion - Land south of Boxham Road, Milcombe						
PR-A-138	14/09/2020		Pegasus Group	Elvin Investments LP	COVID-19 has highlighted the importance of ensuring sustainable growth. It may be necessary for new homes to provide adequate home working space and walking and cycling access to outdoor green space provided in new development. The need to tackle obesity and plan for healthy living is evident. New development should provide for outdoor sport and recreation with effective pedestrian and cycle linkages.	A forward looking economic and demographic analysis is essential to judge the scale of additional employment and housing sites that are required up to 2040. The emerging evidence base supporting the Oxfordshire Plan 2050 will also be essential in establishing the growth requirements for Cherwell. A review of the potential increase use of brownfield land within the district should be undertaken. An assessment of the implications and opportunities to respond to climate change is essential to ensure that recommended policies are appropriate, sit with best practice and are capable of implementation.	The Plan should include an assessment of the quality of development being delivered at allocated sites and should consider the changing role and performance of Kidlington and Heyford Park. Greater clarity over the district's aspirations for growth flowing from opportunities presented by the Oxford-Cambridge Arc is needed. The Plan should consider transport investment and sustainable transport opportunities to support the continued growth of Cherwell.		Consideration should be given to sustainable transport linkages between Bicester and Heyford Park. The Plan should consider what transport investment is necessary between the two settlements in order to attract and maximise further inward investment for economic and residential purposes.		Addressing the place of Heyford Park in the settlement hierarchy is welcomed. Heyford Park is already becoming the fourth largest settlement in the district and with sensitive consideration has potential to grow further. Heyford Park is already a significant high quality settlement in the district and making the area more sustainable in its own right.	Further growth at Heyford Park provides the opportunity to ensure that growth in neighbouring villages can be effectively controlled. Heyford Park provides higher order services otherwise only found at Banbury or Bicester, helping to support villages in the Mid-Cherwell area and making the area more sustainable in its own right.		The Plan should support and implement the OXLEP LIS. The Plan needs to consider ways to support and strengthen key local economic clusters and assets such as the business park and developing the Creative City concept at Heyford Park. A qualitative analysis should underpin the review and inform future policy. There is a need to assess how much more employment land needs to be allocated to meet demand. Our client's site at Heyford Park is located wholly within Flood Zone 1. It will be important to tackle water stress and ensure that the energy needs of the district can be met and the switch to electric vehicles accelerates.	The existing development at Heyford Park has been conceived and executed with healthy place-shaping as a core value. Future development opportunities at Heyford Park would be similarly conceived and executed. The need to address child and adult obesity is a key principle. Heyford Park has delivered open space and playing pitches and will continue to support the provision of outdoor recreational facilities and enhance those that already exist.	The vision in the adopted Local Plan Part 1 was drafted to cover a lengthy period and remains appropriate for shaping the growth of Cherwell. The Council should look at how it continues to work positively with the private sector to support the delivery of existing allocated sites while considering the opportunity for additional future development at Heyford Park.		Site promotion - Land at Heyford Park and OS parcels			The SA Scoping Report identifies the new settlement being created at Heyford Park and notes the Conservation Area Status of the former military airbase of RAF Upper Heyford. In Figure 4.9, Heyford Park is included within a wider Conservation Area Target Area and Figure 4.11 identifies parts of Heyford Park as being situated within a wider Nature Recovery Network (NRN) Recovery Zone and the flying field as being in a NRN Core Zone. Our client was not consulted on the Conservation Target Area designation and early engagement with those defining the NRN areas is requested.			
PR-A-139	14/09/2020		Fisher German LP	Executors of A G Bishop (dec'd)														Site promotion - Land east of Woodway Road, Sibford Ferris						
PR-A-140	14/09/2020		Savills (UK) Ltd	Merton College and the Benham Estate														Site promotion - Land at Loop Farm, Woodstock Road, Wolvercote, Oxford						
PR-A-141	14/09/2020		DLP Planning Ltd	Mr M Stroud														Site promotion - Land at Waterworks Lane, Banbury						
PR-A-142	14/09/2020		RPS Planning	Mr Richard Davies														Site promotion - Land at no. 42 and to the rear of 39-40 Woodstock Road						
PR-A-143	14/09/2020		Obidian Strategic															Site promotion - Land north of Merton Road, Ambrosden Site promotion - Land south of Park Farm Close, Ambrosden						

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this consultation?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments	
PR-A-162	14/09/2020	Sinda Newbery																				
PR-A-163	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	Hallam Land Ltd																			
PR-A-164	14/09/2020	Fisher German LP	CEG																			
PR-A-165	14/09/2020	Brown & Co	JB & ML Honour & Son																			
PR-A-166	14/09/2020	Roeback Land & Planning	King & Warr																			
PR-A-167	14/09/2020	JPPC	The Trustees of A Delevy																			
PR-A-168	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	Mr John Strowd, Trustee to the Beneficiaries																			
PR-A-169	14/09/2020	Roscom Strategic Land																				
PR-A-170	14/09/2020	Framptons	Scape Banbury LLP																			
PR-A-171	14/09/2020	Walsingham Planning	R2 Developments																			
PR-A-172	14/09/2020	Roger Evans																				
PR-A-173	14/09/2020	Framptons	Tritax Symmetry Ltd																			

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to raise?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2047? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2047?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments						
PR-A-181	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	The Tripartite	Sustainable development and equitable neighbourhoods; access to green/open space; connectivity, including strategic cycle connectivity between housing and major employment allocations; flexible use of land/buildings; making efficient use of land; and access to high quality housing and local services. (cont.)	This is in the context of demonstrated local affordability challenges within the wider housing market affecting businesses, organisations and institutions specifically in relation to staff recruitment and retention. This Review should seek to better understand specific housing needs and requirements across the district and with Oxford City. (cont.)				The Tripartite is committed to continuing the Development Brief process under the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 (Part 1) Partial Review and continues to work with the Council and other key stakeholders as regards Kidlington issues relevant to the development of land at Begbroke. (cont.)												At this stage it is not clear how the Cherwell Local Plan Review will relate to the adopted Cherwell Local Plan Partial Review - Oxford's Unmet Housing Needs. Clarity regarding how the Local Plan review will sit within the statutory Development Plan framework, especially considering the overlapping Plan periods, would be welcomed. This is critical to ensuring that an appropriate strategic and joined-up approach is taken to planning within the district and across the County in the period to 2050. It is also necessary to protect longer-term					
PR-A-181	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	The Tripartite	Many of the issues above are important elements of both local and national planning policy. The specific impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic are still uncertain and so the Council should adopt a flexible approach in planning for the period to 2040.	In addition, the importance of truly collaborative and cross boundary is emphasised. Issues and needs across the wider Housing Market Area cannot be singularly or fully addressed with the adoption and subsequent delivery of Cherwell's apportionment of Oxford's unmet need through the Partial Review Plan. Each issue should continue to be addressed.			Also refer to response to Question 3 on behalf of the Tripartite, which further outlines the importance of Kidlington within Oxford's "Knowledge Spine".								Oxford's "Knowledge Spine" is home to the high-tech sectors which are expected to create most of the 85,000+ new jobs within the next 20 years and there is further potential in the period until 2050. This will require good connectivity to facilitate access to a large and skilled workforce (cont)										
PR-A-181	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	The Tripartite			The Tripartite strongly supports the inclusion of positive policies for economic growth and productivity that build upon the area's strengths. It would like to highlight and encourage recognition of the role of the University, Colleges and Begbroke Science Park in particular as key local employers. Begbroke Science Park represents a key asset and opportunity supporting the development of a new innovation community within the Knowledge Spine and wider Oxford Cambridge Arc. The Oxfordshire LIS highlights the County's world leading science and technology.											Excellent links between businesses and research establishments in Oxford – as well as to research establishments, suppliers and customers elsewhere in the world – will deepen the agglomeration of benefits for employers located in Oxfordshire. The Science Park is recognised as being of international significance. Begbroke Science Park and Oxford Science Park have 2000 people employed across them and these employees contribute an additional £17 million GVA for the UK economy along with many economic and other benefits. Land at Begbroke, Oxfordshire LIS builds on the SEP to provide the long term vision for economic growth. OXLEP's ambition for the LIS is to position Oxfordshire as one of the top three global innovation ecosystems, highlighting the County's world leading science and technology cluster and to be a pioneer for the UK and the County's emerging transformative technologies and sectors. The central importance of the University and Colleges, as educational institutions, as employers and as engines of the local economy.									
PR-A-181	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	The Tripartite			As part of this ambition, the LIS recognises the key role of the University as the 2018, 2019 and 2020 Times Higher Education number one ranked university in the World which contributes significantly to the UK economy and generates more technology spin-outs than any other UK University. The growth of this sector in particular should be a focus as part of the Review for the period to 2040.																				
PR-A-182	14/09/2020		Gleason Strategic Land		Promote and encourage open space within new residential development, encourage developments which provide or contribute towards community facilities, and promote the requirement for all development to provide up to date telecommunications to support working from home.	Up to date Call for Sites, revised annually, and that more strictly tests the deliverability of a site. Identification of broad areas of growth which synchronise with emerging district wide transport strategies.	Affordability ratios must be addressed proactively seeking to meet emerging housing targets as a minimum, thereby increasing the supply of homes. The recently published formula for calculating housing need using the standard method increases new homes needed in Cherwell from 755 to 1305 annually. Cherwell must proactively plan for this figure if it seriously wishes to increase housing supply. To meet this uplift it will require a sea-change towards the approach for	Existing landscape studies need reviewing to support the long term growth of the town, particularly with housing numbers increasing so substantially. It is no longer acceptable to protect non designated landscape for its own sake. This need is exacerbated by the objective to promote open space and place making as part of new development; there is not the room to do this whilst limiting and containing the existing settlement boundaries by means of a subjective approach to the landscape.				Yes, I agree with the three themes identified. Addressing housing affordability should be added. The Council needs to drive forward a pro-development agenda to help address the chronic lack of housing supply. Cherwell has an ageing population; this will not be addressed until affordable housing supply is increased, which will assist in keeping younger generations in the area.					Actively encourage open space within all new developments that provide new and existing residents with means to enjoy and access the countryside.	The previous visions and objectives should be retained, with more emphasis placed on actively encouraging development to come forward, with an open for business approach to all new housing and employment development which generates and sustains existing services. Furthermore, a key objective to meet the full local housing needs as identified using the Standard Methodology.	Site promotion - Land south of Broughton Road and West of Friswell Road, Banbury	The Planning White paper points towards the abolition of Sustainability Appraisals altogether and using instead a standard sustainability definition. We agree with this approach; it will free up officer time in preparing long and unwieldy SA's and allow more time to spend on positive plan preparation work.							
PR-A-183	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	Mr M Smith	The Local Plan Review should take advantage of opportunities to maintain economic stability and support and develop sectors where opportunities arise to build on Cherwell's existing economic strengths. There is a need to plan for resilient employment provision allowing key sectors to come forward where possible and capitalise quickly. A spatial strategy should be developed to align with County planning objectives and transport plans reflecting Cherwell's close relationship with Oxford City and surrounding districts, thereby supporting sustainable patterns for growth. Reflecting current uncertainties arising from COVID-19, policy allocations will be required.	Updated economic growth study forecasting will be required, informed by up to date employment land reviews, economic analysis and infrastructure studies, as well as existing evidence, including OXLEP Economic Plan, County-wide infrastructure studies and economic plans. Green Belt review will be required. This should be informed by land availability assessments and in response to employment and housing needs assessment.	The significant Cherwell relationship with Oxford City should be recognised as part of the wider strategy and vision for the District. This is a key to the overall function and character and intrinsic strengths of Cherwell. It is also important in the context of the new Local Plan for North Oxford as a Cherwell growth area under the emerging Cherwell Local Plan Partial Review and other strategies for the area. These plan for the development of a number of housing areas and can support new commercial, employment and service development for Cherwell under the Local Plan Review.			The key issues identified for Kidlington are supported in the context of the emerging policy provisions under the Cherwell Local Plan Partial Review, and the complementary development opportunities under the Local Plan Review 2040. This includes the following in particular: the need to continue to improve connectivity for sustainable modes of transport including buses, cycling and walking; the need to ensure continued delivery and implementation to meet existing objectives; and the need to develop new policies that complement and do not undermine existing commitments.					We agree with the Key Themes identified. Additionally, the promotion of sustainable travel should be identified as part of the Plan, either as a separate theme or in relation to the identified three key themes.	We support the issues identified for this theme, in particular: implementation of Central government and the Local Enterprise Partnership's LEPP policies and initiatives encouraging sustainable economic growth and the raising of the value of the economy; and capitalising on Cherwell's role and position in the Oxford Cambridge Arc.			There will be a need to plan for sustainable patterns of development and movement in response to climate change to support, reinforce and sustain existing clusters. This is key to the successful planning for active travel to address air quality impacts and to realise other environmental benefits.	A new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040 should be established. Economic growth and jobs should be identified as part of the District Wide issues and should be a key factor in the vision and objectives for the plan.	Site promotion - Land adjacent to Oxford Parkway and Water Eaton Park & Ride, Kidlington	We would be grateful for the opportunity to be engaged and updated by the Council on forthcoming stages of consultation throughout the preparation of the Local Plan Review.					
PR-A-184	14/09/2020		Avison Young	Oxford Aviation Services Ltd	The Local Plan should be driven by the primary objective of needing to re-build/boost the economy. Policies should be put in place to ensure that sufficient land of the right types is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth, innovation and improved productivity, as a means of meeting the national objective of re-building a strong, responsive and competitive economy. A positive, supportive policy position is essential, with unnecessary planning barriers to economic development removed. In balancing the policy conflicts between economic development and other considerations (e.g. Green Belt protection) it is essential that greater weight is placed on economic development than has been the case	The Local Plan should be driven by the primary objective of needing to re-build/boost the economy. This should be afforded much greater weight than in the past, over-riding other policy considerations in the planning balance where necessary. This requires a sound economic strategy which should be informed by evidence of the specific economic and development needs of key local economic assets such as London Oxford Airport.	We recommend that the new Local Plan is driven by the primary objective of needing to re-build/boost the economy. In line with Government announcements, this is the principal role that the planning system is able to play in managing the effects of COVID-19. Accordingly, in preparing the new local plan much greater focus should be given to the economy than in the case of the overview of key issues presented in the consultation paper to ensure that the new Plan is as effective as possible.			We welcome the recognition of London Oxford Airport (LOA) and other key local economic assets in the review of Kidlington, including: provision of a new park and ride at LOA; support for high value employment uses at LOA; and review of the Green Belt boundary at LOA. However, we consider that much greater emphasis should be placed on the need to overcome key issues, particularly the needs of existing economic assets in/around Kidlington. Helping to meet these needs should be the primary objective of the new Local Plan.			Agree that economic development should be the leading theme.	We recommend that the new Local Plan is driven by the primary objective of needing to re-build/boost the economy, accordingly the issues should be afforded great weight. In line with Government announcements, this is the principal role that the planning system is able to play in managing the effects of COVID-19. In balancing the policy conflicts between economic development and other considerations (e.g. Green Belt protection) it will be necessary for the new Local Plan to place much greater weight on economic development considerations than has been the case in the past. Furthermore, the economic strategy for the district and sub-region is dependent on a number			Yes. An updated vision and key overarching objectives should drive the preparation of the new Local Plan. We recommend that the vision and primary objective should be focused on the need to re-build/boost the economy.	Site promotion - London Oxford Airport, Langford Lane, Kidlington, OX5 1RA									
PR-A-185	14/09/2020		Walsingham Planning	L&Q Estates	Future draft wording of policies needs to avoid being too prescriptive and inflexible. Broad reference to 'housing' and the spatial approach to development across the district needs to ensure a 'one size fits all' approach should be avoided. Policies should allow a site by site assessment. Flexibility will help the economy to recover from COVID-19. Current policies and allocations have not delivered enough homes therefore new allocations will be needed. Brownfield development			Banbury will be key to accommodating housing growth and large strategic allocations. A review of the landscape studies is needed. Open space provision including for sport and recreation could be provided on site as part of large strategic developments.				NPPF paragraph 68 requires local authorities to identify land to accommodate at least 10% of their housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare. Settlement boundaries should not be introduced. Planning policies should remain flexible to account for changing circumstances in the future. There is already a range of policy controls regarding countryside, landscape, AONB and settlement coalescence to allow development to be assessed and controlled. Services in Ambrosden have not significantly												Site promotion - Land off Blackthorn Road, Ambrosden (Parcel 1) Site promotion - Land off Blackthorn Road, Ambrosden (Parcel 2) Site promotion - Land at Wykham Park Farm, Wykham Lane, Banbury Site promotion - Land south of the A422 at Nethercote, Banbury			
PR-A-186	14/09/2020		John Allison Land and Research Ltd	Mr Robert Browne																			Site promotion - Land at Baynards Green, north of 84100, west of A63				
PR-A-187	14/09/2020		Peter Cox																								
PR-A-188	14/09/2020		John and Pamela Roberts																								

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might need to be updated or replaced? What lessons can we learn from the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you have that the Cherwell Local Plan Review is needed? How do you think the Cherwell Local Plan Review should be prepared?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the District Wide Planning Issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury Issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester Issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington Issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park Issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Rural Areas Issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives? Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this Plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments			
PR-A-189	14/09/2020		Weston on the Green Parish Council		We suggest the following policies: 1) Housing, health, and employment are improvements in will and mobile phone signal to all those working from home (including children). 2) Medical centre planning to be compulsory as part of all planning applications. 3) A policy that protects the homeless, insists on re-purposing of unused commercial buildings needs to be developed and enforced. COVID-19 should not become an overarching reason for policies that would otherwise be unacceptable. This would include an increase in housing growth and the 'build, build, build' mentality that would ruin our traditional countryside. We propose to 'respect our rural areas: re-imagine, re-use, re-purpose, re-cycle, repair' set values. The aims and objectives of the Growth Deal, the Oxfordshire Local Plan 2020, the 'Knowledge Spine' and the Oxfordshire LIS cast long shadows over Cherwell. If making Oxfordshire one of the top three global innovation ecosystems... is the overarching objective then Cherwell...	Housing, health, and employment are several factors that will always need to be included. How we manage these will be a direct result of our values. This Parish has concerns about the ambition of Oxfordshire as well as central government's desire for growth. These views are misplaced in this part of England. There are areas desperate for growth and economic expansion and we need to be less dependent on London as the centre of all things. Climate change should be at the heart of all our economic decisions. We must provide for a future for years to come where leaders understand the delicate balance between economic growth and climate health. Start with the evidence of climate change. What we need to do will become crystal clear - be carbon neutral as quickly as possible, value our environment including all green areas, listen to environmentalists, some of the needs and issues in this section are propelled by an ambitious growth...	Bicester has seen tremendous growth and development. There is an issue with the town centre, and a sense that the new areas have grown quickly with little time to provide youth services etc. It is easier to get from Bicester into London (63 miles) than it is to travel to Oxford which would help home working 3. Support our Neighbourhood Plan and our vision for growth, biodiversity and design 4. We agree with the view to protect the natural environment and important spaces in and around our village. 5. Agree with view to protect the natural environment and important spaces in and around our village. 6. With an eye to the goal of 100,000 new homes, we encourage protection of the countryside in and around WOTG. 7. We would remind the Council that some resources and areas of beauty will be gone forever once removed. Please protect the asset that is our...																	
PR-A-190	14/09/2020	David and Jenny Yates			In regard to industrial and office buildings: consider stipulating that all air conditioning incorporates appropriate levels of filtration and all units have appropriate ventilation. Sufficient green space with native trees to be incorporated within developments and sufficient public transport to site to be demonstrated. In terms of homes: larger room sizes, larger gardens, wider pavements, sufficient open green space, sufficient broadband speed, ensure onsite water and energy capabilities to support home working, and more native trees within developments. Effective policy to be put in place to enable discharge of conditions to be approved and to establish the action that will follow if the approval given...	Is this dependent on the Planning for the Future White Paper? Maintain current housing stock data by type, list the number of approved permissions with their time limits and establish the expected housing need over the plan period using ONS forecast data on employment and population. Review the categorisation of villages to include provisions within a village and its capacity. Review information on biodiversity, ensure mapping of all species present is up to date and use this to secure the required net gain in biodiversity. Establish Local Green Spaces and establish where light pollution should be limited.	The Council could be proactive in purchasing agricultural land to let for small holding use. This would preserve the agricultural heritage of the district and avoid its loss to development. Consider the provision of alternative burial sites in rural areas.																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford		The document rightly highlights the air conditioning incorporates appropriate levels of filtration and all units have appropriate ventilation. Sufficient green space with native trees to be incorporated within developments and sufficient public transport to site to be demonstrated. In terms of homes: larger room sizes, larger gardens, wider pavements, sufficient open green space, sufficient broadband speed, ensure onsite water and energy capabilities to support home working, and more native trees within developments. Effective policy to be put in place to enable discharge of conditions to be approved and to establish the action that will follow if the approval given...	The University of Oxford supports the identification of the key issues and make the following specific comments: The University of Oxford supports the proposed review of affordable housing requirements, taking into account viability and exploring opportunities for significant employer-led housing provision for key workers. The Council is requested to strongly consider the opportunity for employers to provide employer-led housing provision for key workers due to the lack of affordable housing in Oxford, which has caused businesses, organisations and institutions such as the University particular issues regarding staff recruitment and retention. The review...	As paragraph 2.81 states, the Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 Part 1 sought to strengthen village's location on the Oxfordshire 'Knowledge Spine'. Indeed, Policy ES04 stated: 'A small scale local review of the Green Belt boundary in the vicinity of Langford Lane, Kidlington and Begbroke Science Park will be undertaken as part of the Local Plan Part 2, in order to accommodate employment needs (see Policy Kidlington 1...)' (cont.)																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford		Sustainable development and wellbeing/cyclable neighbourhoods; access to green/open space; transport and wider connectivity, including strategic cycle connectivity between housing and major employment allocations; flexible use of land/buildings-local supporting services; making efficient use of land, and access to high quality housing. (cont.)	The University strongly supports the inclusion of positive policies for the economic growth and productivity that build upon Cherwell's strengths and assets. It would like to highlight and encourage recognition of the role of the University and Begbroke Science Park in particular as key local employers. Begbroke Science Park occupies a key location and plays an important role within Oxford's Knowledge Spine. (cont.)	Policy PR3 of the Cherwell Local Plan Part 1 Partial Review removes from the Plan period, 4.7ha of land to the north, east and west of Begbroke Science Park. (cont.)																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford		Many of the issues are important elements of both local and national planning policy. The specific impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic are still uncertain and the Council should seek to be flexible so that it is sensitive to the needs of stakeholders but ensures a healthy, safe and buoyant economy and environment. Mixed use spaces, bringing home and work closer is an opportunity that could be pursued. Historically people have commuted to their places of work. In future, we may see businesses move from city centres to be closer to where their...	The Oxfordshire LIS highlights the County's world leading science and technology cluster and sets the ambition to pioneer the UK and the County's emerging transformative technologies and sectors. As part of this ambition, the LIS recognises the key role of the University as the 2018 Times Higher Education number one ranked university in the World which contributes significantly to the UK economy and generates more technology spin-outs than any other UK University. The growth of this sector in particular should be...	The Local Plan Part 2 has not been progressed and so it is essential that this Plan reviews the Green Belt boundaries and allocates employment development to enable an expansion of Begbroke Science Park, to be developed in close association with the new urban neighbourhood at Begbroke to ensure a cohesive, balanced and integrated innovation community. (cont.)																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford			The University is pleased to see an acknowledgement that different sectors of the economy have different locational requirements. It is widely acknowledged that Science Parks are eminently successful at clustering similar, spin off organisations and acting as incubators for start-up businesses. The Oxfordshire LEP strongly supports Begbroke Science Park and acknowledges the objective of the University to develop a Global...	The Tripartite (of which the University of Oxford is a member) is also committed to continuing the Development Brief process and ongoing discussion with the Council and other key stakeholders to continue to discuss Kidlington issues relevant to the expansion of Begbroke Science Park. Also see response to Question 3, which further outlines the importance of Kidlington within Oxford's Knowledge Spine. (cont.)																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford				As part of this, Begbroke Science Park and Oxford Science Park together already have 3,000 people employed across them and these employees contribute an additional £167 million GVA for the UK economy along with many economic and other benefits. Land at Begbroke, along the A44 and around the Science Park are therefore key components of this 'Spine'. (cont.)																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford				The Oxfordshire LIS also builds on the SEP to provide the long term vision for economic growth between now and 2040 and directly responds to the UK Industrial Strategy. OUEP's ambition for the LIS is to position Oxfordshire as one of the top three global innovation ecosystems, highlighting the County's world leading science and technology cluster and to be a pioneer for the UK and the County's emerging...																	
PR-A-191	14/09/2020	David Lock Associates	University of Oxford				As part of this ambition, the LIS recognises the key role of Oxford University as the 2018 Times Higher Education number one ranked university in the world, which contributes significantly to the UK economy and generates more technology spin-outs than any other UK university. (cont.)																	

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2047? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2047?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments		
LPR-A-191	14/09/2020		David Lock Associates	University of Oxford																		The University considers that the Local Plan review should provide ambitious policies encouraging employment growth that builds upon the intrinsic strengths of the district, including the value and role of the Collegiate University as crucial to the growth potential of the area and Begbroke Science Park, as a facility of international significance of great importance to the local Oxfordshire and national economies. In order to fulfil the long established, exceptionally important and wider growth potential of the Science Park and to ensure the associated economic, academic and other	
LPR-A-192	14/09/2020		Ridge and Partners LLP																				Site promotion - Shipton Quarry, Shipton-On-Cherwell
LPR-A-193	14/09/2020		Planning Potential	Aid Stores Limited																			Site promotion - Land off Oxford
LPR-A-194	14/09/2020		Selma Coleman	Selma Coleman and Gemma Harris																			Site promotion - Bridge Farm, Palmer Avenue, Arncott
LPR-A-195	14/09/2020		Margaret Ruth Power																				Site promotion - Troy Farm, Somerton, Bicester
LPR-A-196	14/09/2020		Bicester Town Council		Ensuring suitable employment opportunities, including facilities to support more locally based employment. Excellent broadband standards to support homeworking. Improvements to facilitate active travel and good public transport links. Boosting the vibrancy of town centres, particularly for a more "service led" future. I.e those things that cannot be bought on the internet. Assisting a café culture and evening economy. Consider the provision of free parking even for limited times to kickstart town	Opportunities to meet the needs and aspirations of the "Knowledge Spine" as part of an economic needs survey linked to Cherwell Industrial Strategy and a long term economic vision. Comprehensive housing needs survey down to locality level in order to identify the specific needs of individual communities. Impact of climate change and planned mitigation on land supply, water availability, biodiversity, power sources and building methods. The green capital benefits of green spaces to aid the protection of existing and	Phase see representation for detailed comments. Housing: affordable housing, width of roads on new developments, preservation of green spaces, improved accessibility and provision of co-working spaces. Economy: the range of employment B uses needed, support for higher skilled/higher wage jobs, provision of work training and wider tourism should be encouraged.		Phase see representation for detailed comments. Specific comments on paragraphs 2.63, 2.65, 2.72, 2.74 and 2.78. Policies to attract businesses, improved accessibility and provision of co-working spaces. Retail and Leisure: provision of arts and cultural, development of facilities suitable for a growing town in excess of 50,000 residents, vitality and vibrancy of the town centres, improvement to the public realm, redevelopment of Market Square.				Agree with the key themes identified.	The need to focus on support for the arts and cultural industries. Economic growth should be in low/zero carbon sectors. Fostering local business growth in a high carbon industry would be incompatible with the aim of meeting the challenge of climate change. The Council must work towards climate change targets.	New major road building projects should be considered in the context of theme 2. Water reservoir facilities should be encouraged.	No specific mention to mental health, which needs the same importance as physical health. Support the inclusion of policies that prioritise active travel. New developments should join up with existing infrastructure and missing links are addressed.	Vision and objectives should reflect the NPPF relevant to the district.		Broadly agree with the key principles identified.			Avoid consultation during August and the Christmas period. Use of citizens assemblies to engage with the community.	
LPR-A-197	14/09/2020		Walsingham Planning	Richborough Estates																			Site promotion - Land to the north of Camp Road, Upper Heyford
LPR-A-198	14/09/2020		JP Planning Ltd	Mr N Wingfield																			Site promotion - The Bowling Green, Overthorpe Road, Banbury, OX17 2XA
LPR-A-199	14/09/2020		North Oxfordshire Green Party		Businesses may not need huge, expensive premises to operate from in the future. Increase of people working from home. Need better communication infrastructures such as fibre broadband and 5G across all areas, including those harder to reach rural sectors. Support needed on helping smaller retailers, and not spend huge sums on shopping centres. It is predicted that more than half of all retail sales will be made online by 2040. Specific comment on paragraph 1.2 concerning green spaces. Limited reference to Cherwell's recent Partial Review. Specific comments on paragraphs 1.20 and 1.21. Specific comments on paragraph 1.14, 1.35 and 2.4. Policies and aims linked to environmental matters and zero carbon developments are already included in the adopted local plan but are not applied to planning applications. Limited reference to the Government's emerging policies, however there is a lot of reference to	Affordable housing for key workers is reasonable but should not be exclusive to that group. Access to green spaces to be preserved when considering location of new homes. Policies to meet needs of travelling communities should be developed in conversation with the relevant communities and not imposed on them. Agree for a range of employment B use classes and that employment development must be in keeping with the area as much as possible. Support policies to encourage and facilitate higher skilled/higher wage jobs and providing access to training workers. The Plan should ensure a range of offerings in the town centre. Importance of community space. Reduction of cars travelling into the town centre and reduction of car parking spaces. Encourage larger all-age play.	Re-establish the 19th Century type of housing co-operatives. Funds for local housing building could be raised.	More details needed on the Bicester Marketing Board. Bicester is a growing town but there are a number of empty stores in Sheep Street. Should not measure Bicester's success as a shopping destination based on Bicester Village alone. There should be more to shopping in Bicester, particularly independent retail.	Specific comments on paragraphs 2.83-2.86. Reference to the proposals set out in the Partial Review and Inspector's Report. Support for economic activity in Kidlington, specifically improvements to the areas around Easter Hall, the surrounding estate and others identified in the Kidlington Masterplan. Kidlington Masterplan to be updated taking account of the Partial Review. Improvements needed in sustainable transport connectivity particularly if Sandy Lane and Yarnton Road level crossing will be closed. Walking and cycling should be targeted. Welcome an increase in community facility provision in Kidlington and surrounding villages. Oppose the expansion or enhancement of facilities at Oxford Airport and additional park and ride facilities.			There are pockets of digital poverty in rural areas. Free tablets and wi-fi to be issued to those entitled to pension credit and to children in receipt of free school meals. Public transport to and from rural villages to be improved. Quality of infrastructure is important such as roads and speed limits. No reference to tree planting. Flooding is an issue in some villages.	More focus needed on support for the arts and cultural industries. Arts in Bicester should be one of the themes in the Plan.	Agree with the majority of the proposals. Appropriate and enforceable policies needed to protect the environment. Specific comments on paragraphs 3.15, 3.18 & 3.21.	No specific mention of mental health. Welcome policies that prioritise active travel. New developments should join up with existing infrastructure. Specific comments on paragraphs 2.48, 2.54, 2.58, 2.60, and 2.61. Ensure broadband providers to facilitate home working. Upskill and reskill existing workers. Encourage further education to develop online lifetime learning. Provision of additional secondary school needed. Identified deficiencies in open space, sport and recreation to be addressed through enhancement of existing facilities or securing new provision.				Use of citizens assemblies to engage with the community.				
LPR-A-200	14/09/2020		Walsingham Planning	Lone Star Land Ltd																			Site promotion - Land north of Camp Road, Upper Heyford
LPR-A-201	14/09/2020		Tom and Polly Rocklady																				Object to development north of Wytham Lane, west of Wytham Farm and Echo Warren, south of the Gladman and Gallagher developments below Salt Way and east of Wytham Park Farm. The reasons being: the existing developments south of Salt Way provide high numbers of new homes; the pressure that these developments already place on current and planned infrastructure; the increased traffic and congestion; the damage to the separation of footpaths from Banbury and the
LPR-A-202	14/09/2020		Haulix Developments Ltd																				Site promotion - The Straw Barn, School Lane, Cropredy, Banbury
LPR-A-203	14/09/2020		ISA Architects Ltd	Grundon Waste Management Ltd & Cemex UK																			Site promotion - 3 Grundon Services Ltd and Cemex UK, Land off Higham Way, Merton Street, Banbury
LPR-A-204	14/09/2020		Langford Community																				Site promotion - Langford Community Orchard, off Dunin Court, Bicester
LPR-A-205	14/09/2020		Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes and Mr & Mrs Donger	According to a study by Savills and Shelter, stalled construction and the recession will slash the number of new homes being built. Many housebuilders have indicated that housing delivery in 2020/21 will likely be reduced by a third of what had been expected as sites were closed under lockdown and sites are now operating at lower capacity. It is anticipated that social distancing may become the new normal leading to a reduction in build-out rates. As sites will now take longer in terms of completion and the overall reduction of new homes being built-out, the	Fully support the Council's aspirations to gather new information and evidence on a range of subjects.	Agree with the observations of the district-wide issues identified in the paper. It is essential that the viability of proposed policies is considered.	To achieve the new growth likely to be required for Banbury, allocations will have to extend into the open countryside surrounding Banbury. The preparation of new landscape studies is important as the latest studies for Banbury date back to 2013 and are clearly out of date. The fresh evidence should allow the Council to review Banbury in terms of recent developments and deliver an adequate supply of new housing allocations. The key issue of provision of a diverse economic base, given the pandemic, must include the provision of co-working employment space to					Agree with the key themes identified but would like to reiterate the importance of ensuring that future policies are viable and realistic.									Site promotion - Land off Dukes Meadow Drive, Banbury	
LPR-A-206	14/09/2020		JP Planning Ltd	Keble Homes Ltd																			Site promotion - Land and buildings at 12 Heath Close, Milcombe
LPR-A-207	17/09/2020		Deddington Parish Council		Larger open spaces and play areas to become mandatory? Can the Council mitigate factors concerning social distancing at sport and leisure centres?	Questions asked: will growth be focused at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington? How will housing numbers be allocated to villages? How DCCG plan to improve its requests for developer funding? Could small developments contribute towards	Improve parking at Banbury Railway station e.g. car park on the Tramway side.	The S4 bus service encounters a problem in travelling through Kidlington. Any scope to use the service roads on either side of the A4260 as a bus lane?		Questions asked: Thoughts on the reclassification of the villages? How will housing numbers be allocated to villages? Encouragement for Parishes to receive the associated funding from developments for improving services	Benefits of delivering new homes through good growth should be better articulated within each of the three key themes. Emphasis needed on the benefits of new developments.	Need an improved free electric car charging network for rural communities.	Need a safe north-south cycle route for Oxford to Banbury. Concern over the significant increase in water at Banbury and Kidlington due to developments. Question - could there be a strategic plan relating to flooding to mitigate									Site promotion - Land at South East Bicester	
LPR-A-208	14/09/2020		Countryside Properties (UK) Ltd		Policies should support a reduced need to travel by focusing growth in highly sustainable locations. Importance of quality housing, private garden space and access to useable public open space.	Assessment to establish an appropriate housing target, specific types of housing required in the future and what type of land is needed. The housing need should not be determined only by Cherwell's own future needs but also future needs that cannot be met by neighbouring authorities. Importance of planning to meet the anticipated need identified using the Government's proposed revised standard method. The plan needs to have regard to the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. Other evidence needed: Strategic Housing and Economic Land	Agree that housing is a fundamental district-wide issue to be addressed. Importance of recognising and promoting new modern construction techniques to help increase delivery rates, whilst ensuring good design quality.	Expected delivery rates at existing sites in Bicester are slower than anticipated, affecting housing land supply. The Council need to identify ways to increase housing delivery at Bicester and to consider allocating additional sites that could also deliver key supporting infrastructure to complement existing commitments.														Site promotion - Land at South East Bicester	
LPR-A-209	14/09/2020		Carter Jonas	W Lucy & Co Ltd																			Site promotion - Land south of Sandy Lane, Yarnton

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn?	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments				
LP1-A-210	14/09/2020	Robert Lawrence			Better public transport infrastructure: home hubs for people who do not have facilities for home working; minimise the need to travel; Local test and trace; local health authorities; better access to green space; property prices are too high and affordable housing is still unaffordable; new homes are of poor quality and unsuitable for living during a pandemic; support for local community groups but no involvement of Parish Councils; poor access to Oxford from Banbury and the park and ride service; and local roads are unsafe for cyclists.	Transport: poor access to Oxford from North Oxfordshire particularly the cross country rail service from Banbury and the park and ride service. Rail service to London from Banbury and Water Eaton has deteriorated sharply in the last 2 years. Parking problems at Banbury and Oxford Parkway. Local roads are unsafe for cyclists. Noise and air pollution to be improved. A361 between Clipping Norton and Banbury is dangerous and overused. Housing: high quality housing at reasonable cost. Sustainable housing. Character of local villages to be protected. Economic: poor infrastructure in Banbury. Local skills training needed																			
LP1-A-211	14/09/2020		Pegasus Group	Lagan Homes																			Site promotion - The Shoofield, Weston-on-the-Green		
LP1-A-212	14/09/2020	David Young																							
LP1-A-213	14/09/2020		Cherwell District CPRE		Access to good quality green space: the enormous mental and physical benefits of access to the countryside and high quality green space needs to be reflected in policy making. (https://www.cpre.org.uk/news/we-want-riper-green-spaces/) The pandemic highlights the need for greater provision of accessible green space to meet open space standards, which are not being met in many areas of the district. ONS data suggests e.g. in Bicester South one in five has access to a private or shared garden. (https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/environmentalaccounts/articles/onlineenvironmentalaccounts/articles/20-05-14) The range of planting/biodiversity of urban open spaces should be increased to bring health benefits as well as increasing urban flora and fauna. (Continued)	We note para 1.15: '1.15 - 'Cherwell today remains a predominantly rural district although it is one of the fastest growing areas in the South East' Shouldn't the main thrust of the opening consultation be about this issue? I.e. do residents want to remain living in a predominantly rural area or do they want to be one of the fastest growing areas in the SE? What benefits/benefactors might this offer? Para 1.16 states: 'In the last twenty years the population of Cherwell has risen by over 16% and it is forecast to grow further to approximately 170,000 by 2043.' What is this forecast based on? Office of National Statistics population projections? Household projections? Or merely self-imposed growth to justify jobs being created which increases population etc. in ever increasing circles? If this is to reflect the ambition set out in the Oxfordshire Local Industrial Strategy (LIS), CPRE rejects this as the strategy was not subject to scrutiny by the	Housing: 2.19-2.20 we agree that affordability of local housing remains an issue, but more housing does not of itself equate to affordable housing. Cherwell has increased its housing stock substantially over recent years but no evidence is provided to suggest that this has had any impact on addressing affordability issues. The council must therefore provide evidence on how continuing with its current growth strategy is likely to lead to different / better outcomes in the future. A clear distinction must be drawn between housing need i.e. the number of houses required to meet the natural growth of Cherwell's existing population, and any housing requirement that may be imposed through Government-driven methodologies. Housing density - Cherwell should raise its housing density policy, to make maximum use of scarce land resource and to support more sustainable communities. Alongside this should come more emphasis on	We agree with paragraph 2.62: 'Local residents value the attractive countryside surrounding the town.' This should be picked up and addressed within the key issues, alongside reference to the importance of local historic areas such as Deddington, the Salt Way, Bloham and up to the north of the Ironstone villages.	Key issues should include reference to the importance of the surrounding countryside and supporting / encouraging local people to access it with associated benefits to health and well-being. Town Centre Boundary Preservation of Bicester's historic centre and Conservation area is of primary importance when considering expanding the town centre boundary. Recent new development of the town centre has not respected the scale and character of the existing buildings. For example, the loss of Wesley Lane has made the environment of the new Franklin House completely lacking in character. The setting of the old town centre should be protected. Views of the Grade 1 listed St Edburg's church from the Oxford Road must be protected. (Continued)	We note Para 2.86: 'We anticipate that any new countryside strategic matters and issues relating to the Oxford Green Belt will be addressed by all the Oxfordshire authorities working collaboratively through the preparation of the Oxfordshire Plan 2050.' Given the recent large-scale alterations to the Green Belt boundaries, through the Cherwell Local Plan Part 1 Review, we would expect no further reduction in Green Belt land in the district. The Green Belt should be proposed for the foreseeable future. Any such proposed changes would be strongly resisted.	We accept that Heyford Park is a significant brownfield site. However, we would expect any future development to respect the policies of the existing Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan and be mindful of the site's location in an otherwise very rural area, with limited road and transport options.	The Countryside: Cherwell district remains a mainly rural area despite the council's frenetic development of areas around Banbury and Bicester and its violation of the Green Belt around Kidlington: it contains some of the most beautiful countryside in southern England. In spite of our disagreements over much of the new development, we are delighted that the proposed changes would be the importance of protecting 'valued landscapes' and 'areas of tranquillity' as well as the need to identify areas 'where development would be inappropriate'. We also welcome the suggestion that the Council 'might protect those areas which are relatively undisturbed by noise and are valued for their recreational and amenity value'. The review questionnaire gives examples of Otmoor and the Ironstone Downs, the latter an impressive area which we recommend would stretch from Horley and Horton in the north to the two	Addressing climate change should be first on this list. Overall, our concern is that the drift of the document appears to be top-down rather than bottom-up i.e. it is how Cherwell can deliver for the National economy, rather than putting the needs of existing residents at its heart. We appreciate that there is a balance to be struck but it feels too far one way and risks leaving us committed to growth targets before local people even get a say. For example, if you look at the Sustainable Local Economy Key Issues - these are chiefly about national policies, not for example identifying the least affluent parts of the District and thinking about how inequalities might be addressed. We ask the Council to re-balance this approach.	Cherwell District Council has declared a Climate Change Emergency. We welcome that. We call on the Council to place this issue as first of its Key Themes. In the light of this, CPRE also believes that all the existing ESO policies in the current Local Plan should be reviewed and strengthened. As emissions from buildings are a large component of carbon pollution, we suggest that resources are put into increasing the energy efficiency of new builds and into retrofitting housing stock. House insulation will save money in rural areas with a poor economy. Carbon audits should be performed for new developments to identify their climate change impact. Council can require higher standards than current national standards for new builds. Government has clarified that 'Local Authorities are not restricted in their ability to require energy efficiency standards above Building Regulations' (ref UK Green Building Council and Core Cities, 2019, Sustainability Standards	CPRE Oxfordshire's guiding principles, and means of realising a vision for Cherwell District are that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The amount of development, and its growth, should be based on natural limits and migration; • Brownfield land should be developed first. Conservation Target Areas, Green Belt and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty should be developed as a last resort under proven exceptional circumstances; • Genuinely affordable housing should be made available, in perpetuity, to address local need; • Any new communities must be sustainable and existing rural communities need investment to support services and infrastructure; • Job creation should reflect Oxfordshire's existing skill base while addressing areas of need to reduce unsustainable commuting. 	We are pleased that the Council wants to encourage more participation from the District's inhabitants in the formulation of the Local Plan. We believe that site visits with representatives from the council, councillors and other interested parties would be a good way of finding some kind of consensus on matters of development and environmental protection. These should take place very early on in the process, and throughout, to ensure genuine, continuous engagement. We also welcome the idea of digital engagement, the use of polls and surveys and other tools to find out what people want and care about. While the pandemic continues - and much of life remains virtual - the importance of such methods is obvious. It is, however, important to make sure that all areas of the community are included in any engagement going forward. As we have said, we welcome many of the	CPRE Cherwell supports the Local Green Space applications that we understand are being submitted for Gavray Meadows and Langford Community Orchard.								
LP1-A-213	14/09/2020		Cherwell District CPRE		Supporting home working/reducing the need to travel: it is likely that the drop in centralised working will be long term and should be encouraged to reduce commuting. This needs to be balanced with increased investment in digital connectivity, plus a creative approach to town centre management - e.g. develop village hubs that can act as a base for multiple services. In larger settlements plan for how under utilised retail or office space can be redeveloped as high quality, centrally located housing provision and associated infrastructure including open space. (Continued)	Cherwell Industrial Strategy (para 2.15) - we are concerned that this may set the goalsposts before we get to Local Plan consultation stage, especially if it is based on the Oxfordshire LIS (see above). The LP Review should come first (where environment, social and economic factors can be considered as a whole) then the Industrial Strategy flow from it, rather than other way round. (Continued)	Economy: Warehouse - We note Para 2.22 Cherwell provides 34% of the total of 6.5 million square metres commercial floorspace in Oxfordshire. And also para 2.76 'We have sought to attract higher technology and knowledge-based businesses to the town, but the predominant new employment use is increasing warehouse provision in the District would unbalance the local economy and should be resisted. Promotion of high technology employment should replace storage and distribution uses of valuable land. Agriculture - CPRE welcomes the stated intention to introduce policies that support agriculture and food production, and sustainable farm diversification. The recent pandemic has shown a requirement for good quality, locally grown food that also reduces food miles and so reduces pollution. (Continued)	Bicester's Green Infrastructure: The availability of natural green space in Bicester has decreased since it was assessed in 2011 (Open Spaces Study) due to new development in the intervening years and infilling of smaller green spaces. The increased population pressure has degraded the quality of existing green space by pollution and littering. Biodiversity has decreased because of overgrowth with aggressive perennials. Bicester Green Gym have evidence of the litter problem and neglect while working in Launton Fields Park, Duxbury Close, land adjacent to Bicester Community College, and Jarvis Lane. CPRE strongly support Council's policy on promoting and enhancing green infrastructure. Gavray Meadows LWS is a unique and important site for Bicester. A new Town Park at Pingle Field is much needed and the preservation of the sports pitches by the Oxford Road is important. The small green areas left,	The Villages We welcome the Council's desire to recognise and retain the local distinctiveness of our villages. We also appreciate its admission that some recent planning decisions have been controversial as well as its suggestion that house builders might be encouraged to 'do things differently in future'. Many recent developments have been ugly, inappropriate and in the wrong place: the Planning Inspectorate's recent decision to allow a new housing estate at Sibford Ferris - against the wishes of the Council and the villagers - is incomprehensible. Natural growth in villages can be accepted but huge developments create a strain on facilities and infrastructure, particularly inadequate roads. As at Sibford Ferris, too many houses are being built in relatively isolated villages with few employment opportunities and without access to main roads. We urge the Council to close the loopholes that allow	Other issues: We note that the level of Gypsy and traveller accommodation will now fall to the Oxfordshire Plan 2050. We are concerned that, because of delays in the OP2050 process, the absence of direction may increase the risk of speculative development of this nature in villages even where the site falls outside of the criteria set out in Cherwell Local Plan Part 1. Can the Council offer any reassurance on this issue? Whilst the need for more affordable housing is referenced at various points in the document, it is not addressed here as a specific rural issue. Research by CPRE suggests that the under-provision of genuinely affordable (social) housing is in fact worse in rural than urban areas. The impacts are also felt differently in rural areas, where for example just a few families or young people moving away can make a significant difference to the viability of the community. We would encourage the Council to identify this as a key rural issue and	ALL new developments must meet at least BREEAM 'Excellent' standard. Gas for heating and cooking is to be phased out by 2040; new developments should not include the use of gas. The (retro) fitting of ground or air source heat pumps should be a normal practice. Battery storage should be a normal fit for developments to store and use electricity produced by the solar PV during the day for use at night. We support Council's decision to double tree cover in the District but planting should not be used to offset the carbon cost of new estates, but be an additional carbon reduction measure. In any case, the reduction of carbon emission needs far more than planting a modest number of trees. For example, the Council needs to think ahead and measure the future cost of building on green field sites which might better be used for food production as climate change intensifies. (Continued)	Solar Farms need to be stopped at least until all employment buildings have solar PV installed. The scarce land is required to feed the ever-increasing population by providing locally sourced food to reduce food miles. CPRE supports innovative projects such as Incredible Edible Bicester modelled on Todmorden (https://www.incredible-edible-todmorden.co.uk/) which aims to increase biodiversity as well as local food production by rejuvenating neglected spaces and small corners and a 'Garden Share Scheme' whereby garden owners who could not use their garden space shared it with a volunteer who wanted garden space, to the mutual benefit of both.													
LP1-A-213	14/09/2020		Cherwell District CPRE		Focus on active/sustainable travel: Some local roads should be designated as traffic free and pavements widened, better maintained footpaths and cycleways are needed, and long distance paths should be preserved, well maintained, linked together and publicised to give residents a new opportunity for exercise and local travel. All new residential developments should have a designated cycle route on main through roads or the road should be wide enough for cars and bicycles. Roads on the new Kingsmere estate are not wide enough which must be a disincentive for cyclists. Middleton Stoney Road for example should have a segregated cycle track and not a white line for cyclists. (Continued)	Data on the following should be collected: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water resources and future need. • Water quality: systematic monitoring of nitrogen and phosphate levels in ponds and watercourses across the district is required. It is not sufficient to leave this as a Wild Oxfordshire citizen science project. • Sewage works capacity. Discharge sewage from Thames Water works into the river system must be stopped. • Air quality (both particulates and gases, ammonia, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide) monitoring across the district. • Health of the trees in the District - screening for pathogens (sudden oak death/ash die-back) • The area of farmland and soil quality • Pollution (incl. light pollution) and litter monitoring • Tranquillity - changes in the District 	Transport: Rural transport should be considered separately as a key issue. This is vital given the high percentage of rural dwellers in the District, the high carbon cost of rural transport and wider issues of tranquillity, access to Oxfordshire's national parks, and enjoyment of heritage, nature and the countryside. Being realistic, private vehicle use is, and is likely to remain, the main option for travel for the majority of these residents for some time to come, and whilst viable alternatives do not exist, there should be no undue penalty for this. The focus will need to be on building up these alternative options, reflecting the established hierarchy of sustainable travel, reducing the need to travel, minimising journey distances and supporting modal shift to active travel / public transport. This will need to include measures such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Better broadband to facilitate both home-working and leisure activities - Increasing flexibility of services e.g. online medical consultations 	Bicester Green Belt: A requirement for Bicester to have its own Green Belt should go along with the new Garden Town designation and provide green infrastructure. The Garden City principle includes this to prevent 'urban sprawl, provide amenity space, and give definition to the town. A Green Belt will link with the town's history as a market town with sheep and cattle being driven in by green lanes from the surrounding countryside and would also allow for the provision of the much needed accessible outdoor leisure space (see question 1)	Other issues: We note that the level of Gypsy and traveller accommodation will now fall to the Oxfordshire Plan 2050. We are concerned that, because of delays in the OP2050 process, the absence of direction may increase the risk of speculative development of this nature in villages even where the site falls outside of the criteria set out in Cherwell Local Plan Part 1. Can the Council offer any reassurance on this issue? Whilst the need for more affordable housing is referenced at various points in the document, it is not addressed here as a specific rural issue. Research by CPRE suggests that the under-provision of genuinely affordable (social) housing is in fact worse in rural than urban areas. The impacts are also felt differently in rural areas, where for example just a few families or young people moving away can make a significant difference to the viability of the community. We would encourage the Council to identify this as a key rural issue and																
LP1-A-213	14/09/2020		Cherwell District CPRE		All existing ESO policies need to be enhanced.		Biodiversity & the Natural Environment: 1. Biodiversity: Cherwell has adopted a Community Nature Plan and this should be completed and extended. Detailed policies for ensuring biodiversity gains are required. CPRE supports developers being given more detailed guidance on how to achieve this. Assessment of biodiversity improvement should not only rely on biodiversity net gain calculations. These can be misleading and used as a 'smoke screen' by developers. We suggest that employing more council ecologists would be money well spent in this time of ecological crisis. Along with increased provision of open space as per answer to Q1, CPRE asks that management and maintenance of open space is focused on increasing biodiversity. The trend to reduce the frequency of mowing grass areas such as roadside verges in order to save costs may also benefit biodiversity, provided the mowing is done after wild flowers have set seed. A general																		

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments
PR-A-224	10/09/2020		Lichfields	The Church Commissioners for England														Site promotion - Land off Mill Street/Mill Lane south of the railway line, Islip Site promotion - Land off Mill Lane, Kidlington Road north of the railway line, Islip Site promotion - Land off Bletchington Road, Islip Site promotion - Land adjacent to the			
PR-A-224	14/09/2020		Bloombridge LLP	The Bulford Trust	There is little point try to guess the trajectory of COVID-19. The economy and education are probably the two most important strategic considerations for our country, alongside the direct health consequences for sections of the community.	The economy is probably the lead indicator for land use planning as Cherwell needs to have cutting edge evidence on this, informed by stakeholders active in business and the market.	The Plan needs to provide a reasonably certain framework for strategic planning, but flexibility also needs to be built into the plan, through a specific policy, to enable Cherwell to respond to the uncertain outlook with agility.		Many investors found the Bicester Master Plan to be exceptionally helpful in setting a strategic framework for growth in the town. It would therefore be worth revisiting and updating this document to ensure it continues to set a vision for investors and employers to follow.	This is the plan where the status of Kidlington is Cherwell's 'third settlement' (rather than a village) needs to be accepted and planned for. The significant change adopted with the Partial Review has changed Kidlington. There are still constraints and opportunities, but the case for comprehensive planning is now even stronger (and somewhat urgent). It is unclear what benefits the Partial Review has offered Kidlington and the surrounding villages and this is a missed opportunity. Kidlington, in particular, needs to return to the visionary, early work around the Master Plan, which linked growth to a series of community benefits, including improvements to the centre. Kidlington must have a plan of its own and a status that reflects the size of this settlement and its strategic location on the outskirts of Oxford. It can benefit from more growth.		Growth should be focused on Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington in order to protect the rural areas.	An additional key theme needs to be flexibility. This is essential for creativity and innovation as planning cannot predict the market but it can provide a framework for change.	The Plan needs to be much more positive on economic measures. It needs to engage with market and business stakeholders and specifically (in policy) provide for some discretionary flexibility as a response to uncertainty. Too often planning applications for something innovative receive a policy objection.			A vision for Kidlington, which also needs to be raised in profile as Cherwell's 'third settlement'.	Site promotion - Land North of The Moors, Kidlington	1. Requests a clearer and more transparent decision making matrix for site selection. Each site should be scored in a transparent way and decisions made based on these scores. 2. Site promoters should be given the opportunity to address any 'red flags'. 3. The 800m walking distance (10 minutes) could be reduced slightly such that 1200m gets a + score or a similar score could be achieved if the majority (but not all) of a site is within 800m of a school or public transport. 4. The role of the Kidlington Rapid Transit should also be upgraded in sustainability terms. This is not an ordinary bus service, given the regular, high quality service, so accessibility to it should receive an additional score. 5. Reference is made to Grade 3 land generally. It is usual to separate out 'a la la' 'best and most versatile' from the Parish Council did not have the opportunity to read the extremely lengthy document.	Encourages Cherwell to engage directly with the development industry and market.	
PR-A-226	22/09/2020		Lainton Parish Council		Don't assume that the status quo is going to persist for the entirety of the Plan. There should be a degree of flexibility. Pandemic mitigation policies should be considered. The home/work planning guidelines should seek to address the challenges highlighted by the crisis. For example, making sure that homes are built for home working, but does not increase social isolation. Ensure that the infrastructure of internet and	Parish felt that this was left to trained professionals.	The Plan has done a reasonable job of identifying issues. Affordable housing should have more focus and be expanded beyond key workers as it does not address the needs of developing a local community. The current process does not provide enough social housing; there is a need for rented properties. There is still a lack of smaller houses both for first time buyers and downsizers. The system of land banking is an issue.		Unlike Kidlington, the villages surrounding Bicester have no Green Belt protection to prevent coalescence and loss of individual identities. A form of Green Belt buffer needs to be agreed in order to prevent coalescence and protect villages from encroachment. Concern was raised about the town centre together with the loss of businesses, and commercial rates.		The Council strongly agreed with the issues raised. As mentioned above, the prevention of coalescence between the major conurbations of Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington with the outlying villages is imperative. The Council would welcome settlement boundaries.	Yes, the Key Themes are satisfactory and no others came to mind.	Concern was raised about Commercial Rates and the way retail is dying.				One key priority should be having an integrated work place - not just infrastructure.			As with previous consultations, it should be remembered that in order to get the best from Parish Councils, future consultations should not include the month of August and consideration should be made that many Parish Councils do not meet in August and December; some only meet bi-monthly and all usually have a set schedule of meetings.	
PR-A-227	23/09/2020		Banbury Town Council		Suggest policies to support the town centre; make it easier to change use of offices to other appropriate town centre uses including residential on upper floors; reduce the constraints on commercial users to extend/alter buildings.	Affordability of housing; viability of housing to ensure that the proportion of affordable housing is maximised; information on the future demand for commercial land and what types of commercial users to extend/alter buildings.	Housing; we don't believe that there is anything inherently wrong with the issues identified, and we support those. Additionally, BTC draw attention to the steep price gradient that exists across the District with significantly higher property prices and rents as one approaches Oxford and the impact that has on affordability; question whether the continuation of the Market Town Strategy that continues to promote more and more housing at Banbury is now reaching its fulfilment and the town is reaching its appropriate limit. Seek clarification of the definition of key workers in the light of COVID-19; ask that the type of affordable housing available be assessed and see if there are other models of housing delivery that could widen the range of options; question whether the current 50/55% level for affordable housing can be increased; stress the need for Homes for Life; consider the mechanisms for delivery that should be used to bring forward difficult	The issues identified for Banbury are numerous and wide-ranging and we don't consider that any of them are misfounded. We have some additional comments: we note that the issue of the growth potential is limited to its landscape setting, whereas Banbury Town Council query whether the limit is also driven by the capacity of the community and infrastructure to absorb more population at the rate it has in the recent past; traffic congestion should be identified as a specific key issue in Banbury. As set out in our Transport Strategy that will emerge in Plan, in discussing the town centre the extent of the policy area for the town centre should be clearly defined; a site for burial space has been acquired by the		The identified key themes are all necessary and appropriate considerations.	Agree with identified issues on this theme. Banbury Town Council emphasise the need to enhance the opportunities for fostering improved education, training and skills development to strengthen the response to the inclusive and prosperity issue.	Agree with identified issues on this theme. Review the flood alleviation measures for the river/canal corridor through Banbury. Include policies that seek to address the climate change challenge including EV infrastructure in new and existing development, renewable energy provision wherever possible, and working towards the goal of zero carbon developments; policies to seek to address air pollution issues in those areas where an existing problem exists.	Agree with identified issues. The COVID-19 crisis reinforces the need to promote Homes for Life with adequate space standards etc to ensure that the housing built is adaptable to meet future requirements such as working from home and for future access needs.		Banbury Town Council only owns one area of land that may be appropriate for development - Land east of Grandons at the end of Higham Way and south of the allotments off Thorpe Way						
PR-A-228	23/09/2020		Mollington Parish Council																		Mollington Parish Council note that the paper does not include anything in respect to unauthorised developments and enforcement of planning conditions and feels that this should be addressed in the Local Plan
PR-A-229	15/09/2020		Pegasus Group	Elan Homes	In addressing the effects of COVID-19 the Council should require larger dwellings and broadband to account for home working.	The evidence required to underpin a Local Plan is significant and covers a number of broad topics such as housing, the economy, transport and infrastructure, the natural environment and monitoring.	Support the identification of the affordability of housing as a key issue affecting the District but highlights that the changes to the planning system, including the changes to the standard method, and issues of affordability in the District need to be considered. There should not be a blanket requirement for developments to provide a percentage of all developments as self or custom build plots. Such an approach is 'broad brush' and does not relate back to the Council's self and custom-build register. Instead, any planning policy relating to self and custom-build should be flexible and only require the provision of plots where there is evidence of need. Such an approach ensures that land is utilised efficiently and the potential of numerous vacant plots appearing, which could otherwise be utilised for traditional market and affordable housing, does not arise. Utilisation of the Nationally Described Space Standards within Cherwell should be					Support consideration of the Green Belt through the Oxfordshire Plan. The new Local Plan should focus on areas beyond the Green Belt away from the City of Oxford, in addressing Cherwell specific needs. The Local Plan Review needs to not rely on the Oxfordshire Plan in delivering Cherwell's housing requirement, particularly following the proposed abolition of the Duty-to-Cooperate through Government's Changes to the Planning System consultation. Support the re-survey of villages. Categorisation should not restrict development and should allow for new services. Policies should recognise the effects of COVID-19 and allow for homeworking. The way to deal with affordability is to provide more new homes.			It is considered that the 'Healthy Place Shaping' theme needs amending to be explicit in ensuring that both market and affordable housing needs are met across the plan period. The housing need should not only be met in terms of quantity, but also quality and geographical location.		Site promotion - Land off Stocking Lane, Shenington				
PR-A-230	14/09/2020		University of Oxford		The Council is to be commended for recognising that COVID-19 means that many issues will need a fresh approach in the future, especially the extent of working at home and the importance of non-car based transport. To this end, the University of Oxford would encourage more flexible policies to respond to change.		Support recognition that the Plan will follow on from the Oxfordshire Plan 2050 which will set the strategic framework under which it will progress, including the overall level of housing requirement. A University of Oxford strategic goal is to construct at least 1,000 subsidised homes for University and College staff. We request the Council to recognise this urgent need. Whilst it is the role of Oxfordshire County Council to develop the next transport plan, there is much the Council can do to foster greater use of non-car modes of			The Plan should consider opportunities to further strengthen the role of Kidlington. There is suitable and available expansion opportunity at Langford Lane.		The University of Oxford suggests that the Plan should include a housing theme which should not be subsumed within the Healthy Place-shaping theme. In seeking to provide sufficient homes of an appropriate tenure, design and size, it is important that this should encourage local employer-led staff accommodation which will go some way into ensuring that provision is meeting a local need. Agree a sustainable local economy is appropriate to align with the Oxfordshire Plan 2050 and the wider Oxford-Cambridge Arc strategies.	The University of Oxford is a world leading research and teaching institution, at the heart of Oxfordshire's local industrial strategy vision.	The University of Oxford agrees that climate change is perhaps the greatest long-term challenge facing society. It is committed to play its part through teaching, research and stewardship of its own buildings and land to reduce net carbon and increase biodiversity.	The University of Oxford agrees that healthy place shaping is a practical way to create healthier communities through planning. The COVID-19 pandemic has shown the importance to focus on this in a holistic way. It will be important to focus future development on locations which can be made into sustainable, self-contained communities, thus limiting the need to travel long distances to work and offering a genuine choice of transport modes.	The University of Oxford suggests that a new vision builds on the wider Oxfordshire 2050 vision.	Site promotion - Land at Langford Lane, Kidlington				
PR-A-231	14/09/2020		Brown & Co	A S Cole & Son																	
PR-A-232	24/09/2020		Horton Parish Council		"The pandemic has highlighted the importance of developing strong, resilient communities where we know our neighbours and support each other" and that the "Local Plan will need to support the sustainability of such activities". Home working, home schooling and recreational use of the internet, has emphasised the importance of broadband connectivity. Horton Parish Council is concerned that the government's Planning White Paper will reduce the community gains and benefits accruing to local village communities from small and medium scale developments (following the proposed demise of s106 agreements). This might hinder potential investment in community-support facilities	A full consultation with local parishioners is needed to gather evidence of local issues and needs. The Parish Council should be consulted before any potential development sites submitted are added to a CDK map and the criteria for "fltering sites" made clear.	The Parish Council supports and endorses the intention to maintain and enhance the traditional character of local villages, including to reaffirm or strengthen the protection given to Conservation Areas and their vicinity. The Parish supports the proposal to develop a policy on light pollution; protection of local distinctiveness and settlement patterns; the need to protect and enhance Public Rights of Way; the need to promote sustainable transport; the 'need to identify and protect those areas which are relatively undisturbed by noise'; the intention to consider new policies to secure biodiversity gain and protect and enhance the natural environment; the need for developers to ensure that an ecosystems approach is adopted, based on natural capital mapping, and a requirement to use the Building for Nature tool; the proposal to provide a local framework for the assessment of development					The Parish Council fully supports the intention to re-survey each village to fully understand current levels of services, facilities and accessibility and for a review to define the approach for distributing any future rural housing and employment growth. Agree that the scope of this work would involve: review existing policies that seek to protect and enhance the natural and built environment; protect local distinctiveness and settlement patterns; review existing policies that seek to protect the countryside from unnecessary development.	The Parish Council supports the three Key Themes identified.	Planning for the future must prioritise support for continuation of these resources and assets.	It is important that the Local Plan includes strong policies to promote walking, cycling and public transport.	The Parish agrees that access to nature and green space can improve people's health and well-being and that the provision and upkeep of local Rights of Way and footpaths should remain a priority. They strongly support the provision of healthcare facilities to meet the demand from an increasing (and possibly more elderly) population.		Site promotion - Land at Fern Hill Farm, Bisham Road, Milscombe		In view of COVID-19, the Council should adopt a variety of virtual and postal methods to engage the public.	

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes Identified? Are there any other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you would like to see included?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this plan?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments					
PR-A-233	25/09/2020		Hampton Poyle and Hampton Gay Parish Meeting									Flooding is an ongoing issue in the area with the proximity to the River Cherwell and substantial rainfall meaning the Parish is at a constant high risk of flooding. Any development on the flood plain risks														
PR-A-234	25/09/2020		Bloxham Parish Council									Bloxham's population has doubled in the last few years and services and facilities at Bloxham have reached their capacity. The traffic system is over capacity due to a fourth school. The provision of affordable housing is not suitable for elderly residents wishing to downsize. Capacity of services and infrastructure to be considered as part of the village categorisation and identification of developments. The Council needs enforceable conditions and be prepared to take enforcement action on development sites. Affordable														
PR-A-235	28/09/2020		Fritwell Parish Council		High priority to sustainability and mitigation of climate change. Policies on heating sources and insulation of new homes, sustainable and public transport, local employment and provision for home working. Protection and enhancement of natural environment. Access to open spaces and clean air to be increased. Improvements in health provision at the centre of transport links. Support the provision of local facilities and resisting the closure of village shops, pubs and other community assets. Making high-speed broadband available for everyone. Avoiding	Higher priority for protection and enhancement of natural environment and measures to achieve significant carbon reduction and mitigation to address climate change. Evidence and policies for wildlife and sustainability of natural environment. Future planning priorities and previous targets to be reviewed in light of changes since the pandemic. Localised evidence on housing needs and housing types. Rural isolation and poverty to be considered. Protection of communities and avoiding coalescence. Brownfield sites to be prioritised and farming land to be	Effective traffic planning and mitigation solutions particularly with the growth at Bicester and surrounding villages. Delivery of more homes will not help with affordability due to lack of control on private rental, developers not implementing planning permissions and land designated for employment which has little local benefits. Support for community-led housing. Question the evidence for the carbon-based Expressway project and associated development along the Oxford-Cambridge Arc.	Enhance town centre and reduce vacant retail premises. Review public transport in the rural areas to enable residents to access facilities and services in local towns.	Enhance town centre and reduce vacant retail premises. Review public transport in the rural areas to enable residents to access facilities and services in local towns. More parking is needed at Bicester North Railway station. Enable Bicester to achieve coalescence with nearby villages. Ensure additional education provision does not have a negative impact on other village schools. Support the Creative City initiative.	Provision of medical facilities with effective transport links. Provision of retail outlets for everyday needs. Consideration of impact of additional traffic on surrounding villages. Improve cycling and footpath links with surrounding villages. Avoid coalescence with nearby villages. Ensure additional education provision does not have a negative impact on other village schools. Support community initiatives.	Re-categorisation of villages to reflect size and capacity for sustainable growth and changing level of local services, transport and amenities. Protection of rural environment, avoid coalescence and keep village distinctiveness and historical settlement patterns. Ensure the right housing types on developments. Consider increases in traffic. Support community initiatives.	Protection of the natural environment, biodiversity and wildlife habitats should be a key theme. A Natural Environment Partnership should be set up to help map land use, enhance ecological networks and improve quality of habitats. Resilience should be added to the first theme. Improve local opportunities for education and training. Growth in services supporting public safety and security, including public numbers and visibility.	Effective, affordable and 'green' transport links are key to local economic sustainability together with fast and reliable internet infrastructure. More action is needed for growth of electric (or other zero emission vehicles) and development of supporting infrastructure. Charge points on all new development. Education provision to include adult education and training for skilled jobs. At venues linked by good local transport. Local food production, including fresh food grown locally, to increase community security and resilience.	New homes to be built to highest possible eco-standards. Infrastructure network of car-charging points across the district and on all new homes. Improved public transport options for villages. Serious commitment for new woodland and greater canopy coverage. Targets on new build should be for 20% improvements to net biodiversity and 25% tree canopy cover. Measures to assess and record gains should be introduced and monitored. More education on this issue - for adults as well as in schools. Practical, effective solutions that can be implemented by	Maintain the distinctive character of existing towns and villages. Enhance community assets such as pubs and shops. Establish larger wildlife corridors and areas where the natural world can thrive. Create green spaces with provision for walking and cycling.	Strong analysis and evidence needed on development needs. Priorities should be based on need and analysis, not working assumptions, and tested against DRACMA - Desirable, Rewarding, Achievable, Challenging, Measurable and Agreed.	Site promotion - Fritwell Heights (small parcel at the end of North Street off Somerton Road at western edge of Fritwell) (Local Green Space)	Evidence should be gathered from a wider range of ecological, environmental and wildlife groups.	The nature and complexity of current planning proposals and online systems make it difficult for many residents to engage and respond and more direct methods should be used to listen to residents and record their views and concerns. Be aware of and mitigate against 'Consultation overload'.							
PR-A-236	28/09/2020		Fencott and Murcott Parish Council									Fencott and Murcott Parish Council has undertaken a survey. Summary of responses include: very few homes are needed in the parish with more small and affordable housing to be provided. Identification of sites should be agreed with the Parish Council. Green Belt and green spaces should be protected. Local services should be fixed starting with roads and flood defences. Bus service to Bicester and Islip is needed. Additional facilities needed such as a safe and a post office/shop. Waste water pipes to be upgraded. Open space adjacent to the village hall and playground needs improving. Concern over flooding. Difficulty for younger														
PR-A-237	28/09/2020		Kidlington Parish Council		Significant increase in working at home therefore transport requirements could be impacted. Difficulties for the plan to cover a long period and deal with unforeseen events.	Concern over other bodies determining key elements of the Plan that are not subject to rigid evidence e.g. Oxfordshire Growth Board and Oxfordshire LEP. Issue of funding to address infrastructure deficit such as transport, health and education.	Current plan has failed to deliver significant levels of affordable housing. Concern over Government's guidance regarding viability assessments which impact delivery of affordable housing. Differences of economy in Cherwell needs to be recognised. Provision of more employment land has a ripple effect. Concern over potential development associated with the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. Climate emergency is an overriding issue. The Plan needs appropriate policies to cover housing standards and sustainable sources of energy. Increase in traffic congestion and deficit in infrastructure due to the	Issues broadly reflects the main points of the Kidlington Masterplan. The Masterplan and associated implementation plans should be updated to incorporate recent developments such as: Remaining Green Belt around the village should be retained. The Kidlington Gap should be enhanced by creating informal parkland and/or playing fields; Potential for a ring of informal parks and walks/cycle routes. Detailed design framework for the village centre including Exeter Close is needed. Concern over the 400 homes in the southern part of the district and its impact on traffic;			The Plan should protect local distinctiveness and settlement patterns and not allow large scale development around small villages. The countryside should be protected and the natural and built environment enhanced. Green Belt protection		The issued identified are generic and could be applied anywhere, which could be covered by the NPPF. Specific local issues to be identified with specific solutions. e.g. potential of the linear park around Kidlington, reduced speed limits and localised flooding. Kidlington Parish Council is establishing its own Environmental Group to work on climate change issues.	Welcome Healthy place-shaping as a key theme. Consider safe and accessible interconnected trails, well surfaced and fit for both wheelchair and buggy use. Trails to connect with the civic centre and library area in Exeter Close and existing green spaces and playgrounds. Potential of a linear park/green ring around Kidlington which reflects the Kidlington Masterplan. Health and wellbeing should be an overarching principle which could lead to improved sports facilities and provision of playing fields. Consultation with health care workers in addition to CCG is needed.	The vision needs to identify the diverse nature of communities across Cherwell. The vision needs to reflect the changes in light of the proposed 1400 homes in the southern part of the district.	Site promotion - Outskirts of Kidlington										
PR-A-238	28/09/2020		Islip Parish Council																							
PR-A-239	28/09/2020		Ambrosden Parish Council			Please see the representation for detailed comments. Comments on the type of developments at Bicester, and road networks. Comments on management companies, school capacity, and public transport. Comments on encouraging independent businesses including market stallholders; and the impact of out of town retail parks on Bicester town centre. Comments on the maintenance of local roads; cycle paths; cost of public transport; frequency of bus services; and capacity of the existing road network. Comments on affordable and adaptable housing; and environmentally and sustainable housing. Comments on encouraging and	Encourage independent businesses in the town centre. Consideration of infrastructure needs. Design and appearance of commercial buildings are often poor. Employment offered through developments is low skill retail and warehouse.																			
PR-A-240	15/09/2020	Dan Sames	Conservative Councillors on Bicester Town Council		Policy to help adapt to changing working practices for commercial and retail environments. Residential policy to consider moves towards home working. Mixed use developments should be considered first.	Evidence needed on biodiversity, transport usage, growth and housing targets, need for different employment sectors and carbon reduction measures.	The Fritwell event is not held annually. Little reference of healthcare facilities other than the cottage hospital, which is a community hospital. Community buildings should be considered within the town centre. Development opportunities should be considered on large town centre car parks. Significant provision of 88 so other sectors should be considered. Growth						Agree with the key themes. Heritage should be a key theme.	Consider flexible working practices.	References needed to the different standards of accessing building sustainability. High building specs should be considered as the norm rather than the exception.	Little reference to healthcare provision.	Vision to be adapted for the future to ensure the needs of a growing district whilst maintaining the elements that current residents value.	Site promotion - Gatte Market car park, Victoria Road, Bicester Site promotion - Claremont car park, Deans Court and the County Council buildings on Launton Road		Question how consultation will take place in a socially distanced environment.						
PR-A-241	30/07/2020		PfT Planning		The Council must be carbon neutral by 2030 and produce an SPD to achieve this. An example of a Climate Emergency SPD is provided.	Please see the Climate Emergency SPD provided in the representation.	Policies and decisions should recognise that Upper Heyford represents the best preserved Cold War site in the UK and possibly in Europe. The heritage potential of the site has never been expertly assessed and the Council have continued to diminish the special character and impact of the Cold War landscape. Precedence has been given to residential and commercial						Policies and decisions should recognise that Upper Heyford represents the best preserved Cold War site in the UK and possibly in Europe. The heritage potential of the site has never been expertly assessed and the Council have continued to diminish the special character and impact of the Cold War landscape. Precedence has always been given to residential and commercial developments.			Forest Gardening in the Climate Emergency SPD provided in the representation should be implemented.										
PR-A-242	31/07/2020	Drew Roberts			Better broadband to work from home.		A second junction on the M40 to Redcote is needed.																			
PR-A-243	01/08/2020	Elaine Whitaker			Local support for vulnerable people. Simple and easy to understand information.	Consultation with resident groups.	Good to see housing for 65+. Green space is not adequately protected and there is insufficient priority for walking and cycling.	Protection of local green spaces, provision of more safe cycling routes, reduction of speed limits to 20mph and the challenge of a new identity for the town centre.					Yes but make the cycling provision meaningful.	The development of Bicester town centre is good. Consider allowing vacant shop units to change to business or residential use.	Give priority to walking and cycling. More kerbside recycling of items such as small furniture to discourage fly tipping. Grants to help with the cost of installing electric charging points at	More safe walking and cycling and the protection of green spaces.										
PR-A-244	04/08/2020	Natasha Robinson			Policies that recognise the need to reduce air pollution, which is associated with increased risk of COVID-19. Policies that prioritise housing over commercial development and the provision of reliable wifi for homeworking. Preservation of access to green space, development of public realm for socialising and repurposing roads and car parks for walking and cycling space. Policies that recognise the importance of farming for food security. Review food retail and density of fast food outlets in urban	Accurate local housing needs assessment which is based on current need and calculation of organic local population growth. Accurate quantification of available brownfield sites for housing and land with planning permission which is not yet started. Demographics of resident population including full/part time employment data, education, place of work, sector, age of working population and unemployment figures.	Co-location of local facilities such as library, community centre, health centre, and sports and recreation facilities makes management and security more efficient and straightforward.	Kidlington is in danger of losing its identity and becoming a suburb of Oxford due to the proposed 4,000 homes in the intervening Green Belt and the loss of a golf course. This will undermine any coherence of the area as a village/community and needs to be addressed as a matter of urgency.	A need for a transport strategy to encourage non-car journeys to the railway station.			Building homes for residents should be a priority. Developing the local economy would seem to be of less significance given the high levels of employment in the area, and the impact on housing need and traffic. It attracts workers into the area. However, reconfiguring/maintaining the local economy might become the real priority once the fallout from COVID-19 is clear.	Maintaining the local economy is essential to the well-being of the area and may be more complex once the fallout from COVID-19 is clear. Developing the economy should be done in line with reconfiguring the available jobs to respond to the impact of Covid-19. The economy must not expand in a way that exacerbates housing shortage and brings more long-distance traffic into the area.	Measures to reduce carbon emissions should be forced, not encouraged. All new housing should meet high standards of construction. Community energy use should be fully assessed and opportunities for low carbon transport actively sought.	Healthcare delivery will increasingly be remote so high quality with connection will be essential for all homes.											

Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

Rep ID No.	Date Received	Respondent	Organisation/Company	Responding on behalf of (where applicable)	Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn from the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?	Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?	Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think we should consider?	Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives?	Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Sustainability Appraisal/Scoping Report that accompanies this Review?	Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal/Scoping Report that accompanies this Review?	Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?	General comments				
PR-A-255	14/09/2020	Paul Troop			Encourage walking and cycling, discourage motor vehicles for short trips and reallocate roadspace to people. This will clean the air, encourage exercise, allow social distancing. Bicester should make more use of its public spaces. The Market Square should be completely pedestrianised and used for a market, not a carpark and traffic junction.	How Dutch towns and villages are designed for people not for motor vehicles.	There is insufficient attention given to biodiversity and need for safe intra-town cycling routes (greenways) to allow commuting by active travel rather than public transport.		There has been a focus on building busy fast roads which are ruining Bicester. We need slow, safe roads, and wide, safe pavements and cycleways with priority for walkers and cyclists, not motor vehicles. The Oxford Road playing fields need to be preserved as there is a significant lack of green space in Bicester centre.				Broadly agree.												
PR-A-256	14/09/2020	Michael Fraser			It is clear that a large proportion of the working population are able to work productively from home. This should have a beneficial impact on no longer requiring policies that houses must be located close to places of work (as we have seen in the Partial Review debate). When calculating the number of houses required based on 'economic growth', for example, the overall number should be moderated by a method that	Evidence should be used to determine actual need. Use evidence based policymaking, not the policy-based evidence-making that was used for the Partial Review, that has resulted in a significant excess of housing being approved against actual need.	Avoid removing green belt or ensuring there is not a greenfield-first approach to building and take seriously the climate emergency.			Believes the Council is turning Kidlington, Yarnon and Begbroke into an urban environment, and representations for the Partial Review were ignored and questions whether people should participate in subsequent consultations.		Believes that the Council is planning to ruin the rural area in the south of the district, in cooperation with other district councils, and led by Oxford City Council. Change the current policy of greenfield-first building.		The representation states, 'Well, you have not attempted to address the challenge so far, having ignored it entirely during the Partial Review, so I guess it would require a political change of Council to ensure this happens in the future.'	The representation states 'Please desist from the term "place-shaping" or "place-making" since it often suggests the location for your building plans is a formless void, awaiting intervention from the Divine Architect Consultancy and their magic combination of spreadsheet and Google maps. The reality is that many of the places which you wish to shape are have been perfectly viable places, whether rural or semi-rural, for	The representation states 'An independent (truly independent, not the Inspectorate) review of the Partial Review would be good, and maybe you could learn some lessons about what genuine consultation, and response to consultation, actually means in a democracy, rather than responding only to landowners, developers and (some) politicians.'					Claims that the consultation is appealing to landowners to submit sites in the Green Belt and supporting the University. Previous correspondence with the university is highlighted. The Council should listen and actually change plans in response to residents' feedback.				
PR-A-257	14/09/2020		Roscom Strategic Land	Christopher Gasson																					
PR-A-258	14/09/2020		Roscom Strategic Land	Hugh Robert Nathaniel Stille																					
PR-A-259	14/09/2020		Bloombridge LLP		There is little point try to guess the trajectory of COVID-19. The economy and education are probably the two most important strategic considerations for our country, alongside the direct health consequences for sections of the community.	The economy is probably the lead indicator for land use planning so Cherwell needs to have cutting edge evidence on this, informed by stakeholders active in business and the market.	The plan needs to provide a reasonably certain framework for strategic planning, but flexibility also needs to be built into the plan, through a specific policy, to enable Cherwell to respond to the uncertain outlook with agility.		Many investors found the Bicester Master Plan to be exceptionally helpful in setting a strategic framework for growth in the town. It would therefore be worth revisiting and updating this document to ensure it continues to set a vision for investors and employers to follow.	This is the plan where the status of Kidlington as Cherwell's "third settlement" (rather than a village) needs to be accepted and planned for. The significant change adopted with the Partial Review has changed Kidlington. There are still constraints and opportunities, but the case for comprehensive planning is now even stronger (and somewhat urgent). It is unclear what benefits the Partial Review has offered Kidlington and the surrounding villages and this is a missed opportunity. Kidlington, in particular, needs to return to the visionary, early work around the Alan Baster Master Plan, which linked growth to a series of community benefits, including improvements to the centre. Kidlington must have a plan of its own and a status that reflects the size of this settlement and its strategic location on the outskirts of Oxford. It can benefit from more growth.		Growth should be focused on Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington in order to protect the rural areas.	A key additional theme needs to be flexibility. This is essential for creativity and innovation (planning cannot predict the market but it can provide a framework for change).	The plan needs to be much more positive on economic measures. It needs to engage with market and business stakeholders and specifically (in policy) provide for some discretionary flexibility as a response to uncertainty. Too often planning applications for something innovative receive a policy objection		A vision for Kidlington, which also needs to be raised in profile as Cherwell's "third settlement".	Site promotion - Land west of Sibford Road, Hook Norton Site promotion - Land south of Milton Road, Adderbury	1. Requests a clearer and more transparent decision making matrix for site selection. Each site should be scored in a transparent way and decisions made based on these scores. 2. Site promoters should be given the opportunity to address any 'red flags'. 3. The 800m walking distance (10 minutes) could be nuanced slightly such that 1200m gets a score of a similar score could be achieved if the majority (but not all) of a site is within 800m of a school or public transport. 4. The role of the Kidlington Rapid Transit should also be upgraded in sustainability terms. This is not an ordinary bus service, given the regular, high quality service, so accessibility to it should receive an additional score. 5. Reference is made to Grade 3 land generically. It is usual to	Cherwell should engage directly with the development industry and market.						
PR-A-260	03/09/2020	V N Smith					Support efforts to provide new homes but object to some proposals. Infrastructure has been neglected including road and hospital provision. The public should be involved in all elements of planning that affect living conditions and the Plan should deal with the full range of issues.																		
PR-A-261	11/09/2020	Hubert East and Caroline Dickens																							
PR-A-263*	21/09/2020		Framptons	Mr R Hall																					
PR-A-264*	28/09/2020		Althoson Rafferty	Mr Clive Tredwell																					
PR-A-265*	15/10/2020		ID Planning	Richard and Beverley Fisher German LLP																					
PR-A-266*	09/11/2020			Mr John Elkington																					
PR-A-267*	18/12/2020		See Group	CGIS Banbury Ltd																					
PR-A-268*	19/04/2021		HB Architects	John Andrew Hunter																					
PR-A-269*	16/09/2020	Belinda Ellis				Importance of reversing the large decline in nature. The decrease in insect populations including bees threatens food production. Chemical insecticides should be prevented.	New housing developments in Banbury and surrounding area impacted on infrastructure. High pollution levels such as in Henfield Way. Further developments should be limited with affordable housing being a priority. Council houses are needed. Houses should be built near employment areas to avoid long commutes. New homes should be built to the highest sustainable level. Modular and off-site construction, self								No reference to the pressing need for planting trees. Importance of planting trees to suck up vast amounts of carbon dioxide from the air. Land should be identified for tree planting. Community gardens, orchards and re-wilding projects should be encouraged. The Council should work with Parish Councils and Community Action Groups to help to enact on the Climate Emergency.										
PR-A-270*	16/09/2020		NHS Oxfordshire CCG		COVID-19 distancing requirements led to many GP practices requiring more space to implement robust infection control procedures. Encourage greater use of ICT in caring for patients. Requirement for face to face appointments in the longer term is unknown.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.	The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.												
PR-A-271*	16/09/2020		South Oxfordshire																						
PR-A-272*	23/09/2020		Bicester Athletic Club				An athletics facility is still required further to the aspiration in the current Local Plan. Bicester Athletic Club are currently renting use of a grass field from Bicester School however it is starting to become unfit for purpose, and will require the purchase of roof barriers in the region of £100k in order to continue to provide athletics training and competition into the future. Rugby and football have been considered as part of the Cherwell playing Pitches Strategy but athletics was not included. An athletics track was earmarked within the original plans for Kingmers and it has not been made a condition of the residential permissions that have been approved for Bicester. Existing facilities in Bicester are more appropriate for senior athletes whilst the Club caters mainly for the junior end of the sport. A high end eight lane all weather athletics facility with associated jumping and throwing facilities, changing and storage facilities would be fantastic. Whilst a																Thank you for the invitation to		
PR-A-273*	30/09/2020		Middleton Stony Parish Council																						
PR-A-274*	01/10/2020		Duns Tew Parish Council									Duns Tew is covered in a Neighbourhood Plan and this should be reflected in the new Plan. Future infilling development should be affordable. Parking and traffic management issues in Duns Tew. Safety issues over the use of the bus service and that a path should be provided. Concern over the 4 way junction of North Aston Road with the A4260. Increase in traffic due to													Current planning process is not fit for purpose and needs urgent attention. The Council should: provide a summary on all planning applications in plain English; signpost Parish Councils to the key documents which are being consulted; allow for reasonable periods for consultation; and create a website that draws attention to the new documents.

* denotes late representation